Proposed Recommendations : May 2005

Three standards have completed RFCs since the Pune meeting. We should now formally consider them for formal Recommendation status. Given the discussions at Sunday's Architecture review, we should perhaps ask the IVOA Technical Lead (Roy Williams) to review the outcome of the RFC and give us a recommendation. There isn't time to do this before the Exec meeting on Wednesday, but we can still discuss each PR and come to a preliminary review. Then following Roy's review, we can take an email vote.

----
(1) From the Registry WG
IVOA Resource Identifier specification, version 1.1

RFC issued to interop@ivoa.net : Jan 19th 2005
Deadline given : Feb 20th 2005
Wiki page for comments :
http://www.ivoa.net/twiki/bin/viewauth/IVOA/IVOAIdentifiersV11Disc

Comments : Quite a number of comments were posted. They seem to have been responded to, but we need an explicit assurance that they have been satisfactorily resolved.

Proposal from chair : we should vote as soon as hear from the Tech Lead.
----
(2) From the UCD WG
UCD V1.06 "Moving to UCD1+"

Doc available at http://www.ivoa.net/Documents/latest/UCD.html
RFC issued to interop@ivoa.net : Oct 26th 2004
Deadline given : +4 weeks
Wiki page for comments :
http://www.ivoa.net/twiki/bin/view/IVOA/UnifiedContentDescriptorRFC

Comments : We were originally expecting a standard for "UCD1+". In the event, a document was written as an upgrade to the UCD standard, titled "moving towards UCD1+". At the plenary discussion in Kyoto, it was widely agreed this was too cautious and this really WAS the UCD1+ standard, and should be accepted or not on that basis. Two comments were posted. These seem simple but have not been replied to.

Proposal from chair : Doc should be re-written to reflect this really being UCD1+, and to address the comments. Following this update, we should ask the Tech Lead to review the PR, and then vote.
----
(1) From the Data Model WG
STC V1.0

Doc available at http://www.ivoa.net/Documents/latest/STC.html
RFC issued to interop@ivoa.net : April 8th 2005
Deadline given : none
Wiki page for comments :
http://www.ivoa.net/twiki/bin/view/IVOA/STCMetadataRFC

Comments : Only Ray Plante posted a comment directly. He was concerned about what counts as an implementation. Arnold Rots also posted some transmitted comments from meetings and emails, and seems to have addressed these. In conversations, I have picked up some worry that other WGs have not yet looked closely enough at STC and its implications for them, and comments by the DM chair at the Kyoto plenary seemed to confirm that an extended discussion period might be a good idea.

Proposal from chair : We should refresh the RFC; the DM chair should pro-actively seek opinions from other WG chairs; the implementation issue should be clearly addressed; and the Tech Lead should then review the results of these actions. Following this we will take a vote in a few weeks.