
Standard ADQL-Astronomical Data Query Language RFC page http://www.ivoa.net/cgi-bin/twiki/bin/view/IVOA/ADQLv2RFC Start RFC 01-May-08 End RFC 09-Jun-08 Start TCG Review 15-Sep-08 **End TCG Review** 28-Oct-08 Start Exec Review 29-Oct-08 **REC** date 29-Oct-08 YES Yes, but NO Comments to be brought to the Exec WGs Dedicated meeting took place on 27/10/2008 to answer the significant comments made. Agreed correction in the updated version of **Apps** 20081028. Some questions will need to be addressed in future versions of ADQL. DAL It is planned to have a separate document with DM specific examples of usage fo ADQL, as agreed in Trieste. **GWS** Dedicated meeting took place on 27/10/2008 to answer the significant comments made. Registry Agreed correction in the updated version of 20081028. **Semantics VOEvent** VOQL Small correction to be made in the power function **VOTable** and in atan2. Corrected in the updated version of 20081028. Page numbers to be added to the document. **TCG** Corrected in the updated version of 20081028. IGs Astro-RG Dedicated meeting took place on 27/10/2008 to answer the significant comments made. Data CP Agreed correction in the updated version of 20081028. Theory YES Yes, but NO

ADQL



ADQL document has gone through many and extensive discussions within the VOQL-TEG with representatives from all involved VO projects, all of which have been made public through IVOA mailing list archives. Agreements have been reached in that context on various technical and strategical points wrt the standard. Further discussion and agreements were made during the ADQL session in Trieste Significant comments have come at the very end of the recommendation process. Recommendatio A dedicated meeting took place on 27/10/2008 to clarify and answer these comments. ns to EXEC That resulted in the updated ADQL 2.0 - 20081028 version to the satisfaction of all involved parties. We believe the ADQL standard is very important for the IVOA. As it is now, it is very mature and we recommend then the Exec to approve it. We also believe that the REC process has not worked fully and therefore should be reviewed to improve it for the future.