

# UCDs for Spectral DM

- Spectral DM uses UCDs extensively
- Used to distinguish different x and y axes (flux density vs surface brightness, wavelength vs frequency)
- Also used throughout model to precisely describe a concept.

# New Proposed UCDs

- `phys.energyDensity`
  - I had proposed “`phys.energy-density`” but Andrea recommended change for consistency with UCD list
  - Useful for SED of extragalactic background, CMB
- `stat.fill`
  - Filling factor; e.g. Dead time in instrument; could also use for density filling factor in ISM, etc.
- `arith.log`
  - Rejected at Pune, claim was we should handle this in units

# New Proposed UCDs

- em.veloc.radio, opt, beta or spec.veloc;em.opt
  - The “radio” and “opt” refer to the method; you can (and some do) calc a radio velocity from an optical line.
- meta.entity, meta.curation, meta.human, meta.email, meta.uri
  - For curation type stuff
- src.net, src.total, src.background
  - Distinguish between net and total flux, aperture photom, etc.
  - Andrea proposes “stat.background”

# New Proposed UCDs

- `phot.fluxDens;instr.beam`
  - Typically for radio: flux density per beam
  - Different from surface brightness
- `stat.error.sys`
  - We don't know the actual systematic error, but we know the systematic uncertainty: e.g., this instrument's absolute calibration is good to 5 percent, and we can add this into goodness-of-fit.

# New Proposed UCDs

- `time.obs` or `time.expo.mid`
  - We have `time.exp`, `time.expo.start`, `time.expo.end`
  - `time.exp` = “Exposure time” which I interpret to mean the duration of the exposure;
  - We also have `time.epoch` which is explicitly JD
  - So what about the very common case of the time of the observational data point expressed as the midpoint of the exposure, but not in JD? `time.expo.mid` perhaps?
- Better yet, replace `time.expo.start`, `time.expo.end` by
  - `time.expo;arith.bin.start` and `time.expo;arith.bin.end`
  - Allows general solution to start/mid/end issues