

# the IVOA Standard Vocabulary for events, object types, instruments

A. Preite Martinez - inaf/it  
F. Hessman – mo.ne.t./de  
S. Lesteven – cds/fr  
R. Williams - caltech/usa

...

## the IVOA Standard Vocabulary Status – recent docs & events

- VOEvent I - Caltech 2004

---

- UCDs, Doc (Rec)
- VOConcepts
- SV draft (v0.3) 2005
- VOEvent II - Tucson
- UCDs, List (Rec)

---

- SV draft (v0.8) 2006

# the IVOA Standard Vocabulary

## why, what, how

- Standardise the vocabulary used to describe the data the IVOA community is working on
- Categories (of immediate IVOA usage):
  - Processes
  - Object types
  - Instrumentation (imagers, spectrographs) + theory, sim., ...
- Use UCD-like syntax:  
root-concept [[[ .subordinate-concept(s) ] ; concept-specification(s) ]..]
- Based upon:
  - A&A keywords (324)
  - Simbad object types (155),
  - UCD1+ word-list (379)
  - Literature (6 Journals, last 6 years)

# the IVOA Standard Vocabulary Syntax rules (FH + APM)

- Use of normal vocabulary, abbreviations when generally accepted (ISM), hyphens and capital letters when normally used (X-ray, HII) or to bridge words (dwarfNova, absLineSystem)
- Use UCD-like dots to generate hierarchies and semicolons to concatenate concepts:
  - stars.binary.low-mass;em.X-ray (LMXB)
- object names assumed as archetypal of an object class (RRLyrae) or discoverer's name describing an object class (Seyfert) will be sub-words of concept "class"

# the IVOA Standard Vocabulary Syntax rules (FH + APM)

- if an object or a class of objects are commonly designated by an abbreviated form or acronym, the standard word can be replaced by its abbreviated form or acronym
  - *process.variation.burst;em.gamma* == ***alias.GRB***
  - *stars.variable.cataclysmic;class.AMHer* == ***alias.AMHer***
- to indicate that an object (objectX) is a member of a multiple/composite object (objectY), the qualifier “stat.member” is used:
  - *objectX;objectY;[objectZ;...]stat.member*
- If an object is the subject of a simulation, the qualifier “*stat.sim*” can be used.

# the IVOA Standard Vocabulary general problems (1)

- **If the object is simple, the SV representation is simple** (and, contrary to the title, this is not a problem!!):

“Star of spectral type B” => “*stars.spType.B*”

“Cluster of stars” => “*stars.cluster*”

“Cluster of galaxies” => “*galaxies.cluster*”

“Active galaxy” => “*galaxies.active*”

“White dwarf” => “*stars.whiteDwarf*”

“Elliptical galaxy” => “*galaxies.elliptical*”

“HII region” => “*ISM.region.HII*”

## the IVOA Standard Vocabulary .. to general problems (2)

- **If the object is complex (the majority?) the SV representation (i) is long/complex, (ii) could be not unique:**

“Star in cluster of stars” => “*stars;stars.cluster;stat.member*”

“Semi-regular pulsating star” =>

“*stars.variable;process.variation.quasi-periodic*”,

but why not:

“*stars.variable.quasi-periodic*” or:

“*stars; process.variation.quasi-periodic*”

“cataclysmic variable” =>

*stars.binary.close || stars.variable.cataclysmic ||*

*stars.variable.\*.dwarfNova || stars.\*;class.UGem*

# the IVOA Standard Vocabulary

## general problems (3)

“Eclipsing binary of Algol type” that could be declined as:

*“stars.binary;process.eclipse;class.Algol”*

*“stars.binary.eclipsing.class-Algol” ....*

*“alias.Algol”*

“Galactic nebula” => *“ISM.nebula.galactic”* or

*“ISM.nebula;Galaxy;stat.member”*

“Supernova of type Ia” => *“stars.superNova.Ia”* or

*“stars.superNova.Ia;process.explosion”, or “alias.SNIa”*



# the IVOA Standard Vocabulary general problems (4)

- **the SV representation is sometimes implicit as our language:**

a “Low-mass X-ray binary” is a complex system made of (i) an evolved low-mass star, (ii) the remnant of a high-mass star, (iii) an accretion disk, heated by loss of gravitational energy of matter from the low-mass star, origin of the X-ray emission.

In SV => “*stars.binary.low-mass;em.X-ray*”, forgetting about half a dozen physical processes (last but not least: “*process.emission*”)

In a similar way, we say “Pulsar” and the SV suggests “*stars.pulsar*”, but an equally effective way of using words of the SV would be to write

“*stars.neutron;process.rotation;process.emission;em.radio*”  
and we still miss the “very fast” qualifier!

## the IVOA Standard Vocabulary general problems (5)

- **So in most cases the SV representation is the result of :**
  - (i) a choice between “object” or “hierarchy” and “process”
  - (ii) a choice of the process most relevant to the observer
- **How to deal with “common-language” qualifiers:**

Late/early, high/medium/low, hot/cold, bright, fast/slow, *etc.*

My suggestion is to put them in the hierarchy rather than in “stat.\*” to avoid ambiguities in the concatenation of concepts.

# different views of the same object:

variable

cataclysmic | explosive | nova-like | dwarfNova

close binary (eclipsing binary)

dwarf | late-type K-M giant filled Roche lobe

white dwarf, accretion disk, hot spot

X-ray source

low-state, emission lines

high-state, absorption lines

class UGem (SSCyg, SUUMa, ZCam)

# the IVOA Standard Vocabulary .. is that all?

- **Are we sure we are getting all the necessary **semantic richness** with the few hundred keywords of A&A?**

Some work was done to dig into the most recent literature searching for the way the “users” describe events, processes, astronomical objects, instruments

The approach was:

- (1) derive statistics on the use of all “A&A keywords” in recent years
- (2) select keywords with high frequencies (>100 citations/year)
- (3) find all the sentences (in the abstract) containing the selected keywords
- (4) extract all the different meaningful semantic content

**Different expressions with different semantic meaning of selected objects/keywords in the literature (years: 2000-2005):**

<b>Detail of:</b>	<b>journals</b>	<b>issues</b>	<b>sentences</b>	<b>expressions</b>	<b>DR(%):</b>
<b>abundances</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>235</b>	<b>2792</b>	<b>45</b>	<b>1.6</b>
<b>accretion</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>248</b>	<b>2672</b>	<b>130</b>	<b>4.9</b>
<b>circumstellar</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>204</b>	<b>592</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>21.8</b>
<b>galaxies active</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>445</b>	<b>854</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>4.8</b>
<b>galaxies cluster</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>781</b>	<b>4481</b>	<b>120</b>	<b>2.7</b>
<b>GRB</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>396</b>	<b>2205</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>1.7</b>
<b>hydrodynamics</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>192</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>4.2</b>
<b>mass-loss</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>122</b>	<b>261</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>11.5</b>
<b>neutron stars</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>214</b>	<b>1486</b>	<b>52</b>	<b>3.5</b>
<b>numerical</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>229</b>	<b>1170</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>6.5</b>
<b>stars binary close</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>409</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>9.0</b>
<b>stars formation</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>267</b>	<b>1769</b>	<b>129</b>	<b>7.3</b>
<b>supernova</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>248</b>	<b>1969</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>1.7</b>
<b>X-ray</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>856</b>	<b>14995</b>	<b>740</b>	<b>4.9</b>

<b>supernova core-collapse</b>	<b>stars.superNova;process.collapse</b>
<b>supernova explosion</b>	<b>stars.superNova;process.explosion</b>
<b>supernova explosion thermonuclear</b>	<b>stars.superNova;process.explosion.thermonuclear</b>
<b>supernova fallback</b>	<b>stars.superNova.fallback</b>
<b>supernova blast-wave</b>	<b>stars.superNova;process.shock</b>
<b>supernova shock</b>	<b>stars.superNova;process.shock</b>
<b>supernova ejection pencil-beam</b>	<b>stars.superNova;process.mass-loss.jet</b>
<b>supernova kick</b>	<b>stars.superNova;process.angMomentumTransfer</b>
<b>supernova enrichment</b>	<b>stars.superNova;process.enrichment</b>

<b>neutron star accreting</b>	<b>stars.neutron;process.accretion</b>
<b>neutron star bursting</b>	<b>stars.neutron;process.variation.burst</b>
<b>neutron star coalescing</b>	<b>stars.neutron;process.merging</b>
<b>neutron star cooling</b>	<b>stars.neutron;process.cooling</b>
<b>neutron star evolution</b>	<b>stars.neutron;process.evolution</b>
<b>neutron star glitches</b>	<b>stars.neutron;process.variation.glitch</b>
<b>neutron star magnetar/magnetized</b>	<b>stars.neutron.magnetar</b>
<b>neutron star mergers</b>	<b>stars.neutron;process.merging</b>
<b>neutron star millisecond</b>	<b>stars.neutron;process.rotation</b>
<b>neutron star non-rotating</b>	<b>stars.neutron;process.rotation;stat.not ?</b>
<b>neutron star oscillations</b>	<b>stars.neutron;process.pulsation</b>
<b>neutron star pulsations</b>	<b>stars.neutron;process.pulsation</b>
<b>neutron star rotating</b>	<b>stars.neutron;process.rotation</b>
<b>neutron star rotating differentially</b>	<b>stars.neutron;process.rotation.differential</b>
<b>neutron star rotating fast</b>	<b>stars.neutron;process.rotation.fast</b>
<b>neutron star rotating slow</b>	<b>stars.neutron;process.rotation.slow</b>
<b>neutron star spin/spinning</b>	<b>stars.neutron;process.rotation</b>
<b>neutron star spinning rapidly</b>	<b>stars.neutron;process.rotation.fast</b>

- (4-c/4-w):** bright soft X-ray loops
- (4-c/4-w):** modeled X-ray burst oscillations
- (4-c/5-w):** center-filled X-ray properties of SNRs
- (4-c/5-w):** high-mass X-ray binary pulsar
- (4-c/5-w):** transient low-mass X-ray binaries
- (4-c/5-w):** X-shaped soft X-ray morphology
- (4-c/6-w):** high-luminosity accretion-powered X-ray pulsars
- (4-c/6-w):** intermediate-mass black hole X-ray binaries
- (4-c/6-w):** redshift-limited, X-ray-selected cluster sample
- (4-c/6-w):** stellar-mass black hole X-ray binaries
- (4-c/7-w):** X-ray-selected high-redshift radio-quiet quasar
- (5-c/5-w):** intrinsically absorbed X-ray emission regions
- (5-c/5-w):** inverse S-shaped X-ray sigmoid structures
- (5-c/5-w):** transient binary supersoft X-ray source
- (5-c/6-w):** accretion-powered, transient, millisecond X-ray pulsars
- (5-c/6-w):** eclipsing halo low-mass X-ray binary
- (5-c/6-w):** extended electron-scattered hard X-ray emission
- (5-c/6-w):** low-luminosity globular cluster X-ray sources
- (5-c/6-w):** X-ray-bright, eclipsing magnetic cataclysmic variable
- (5-c/7-w):** type I X-ray-bursting low-mass X-ray binary
- (5-c/7-w):** X-ray-heated Roche lobe-filling secondary star