REST as a better web service paradigm

Norman Gray VO-TECH / Uni. Leicester / Uni. Glasgow

IVOA Interop, Beijing, 2007 May 15

norman gray



Plan:

First, a bit of SOAP-bashing, just to get warmed up.

What is REST supposed to be?

What does a REST service look like?

norman gray_

_soa is simple...

Web Services Standards Overview A transportation of the second # Baumon Process Sam Products Management Specification *Presentation A Republic I Secondly Specifications * Transaction a fankinger # Mathematics Specific states Servi Smalling 3111 4 524.0 8 Microsoftware Male Text Dates 1 1 181 inno Q \$ 2325. Speerfinnting

http://www.innoq.com/soa/ws-standards/poster/

norman gray

soa is friendly...

Guns don't kill people, the SOA WS-* stack kills people.

The first rule of SOA is you do not talk about SOA.

SOA actually stands for SOA Oriented Architecture.

Saddam didn't have WMD, he had SOA. But SOA is so powerful, they went with the WMD angle instead to quell fear.

http://www.soafacts.com/



soa is useful...

Quoth Mark Nottingham, one-time chair of the WS-Addressing WG:

Show me the interoperable, full and free implementations of WS-* in Python, Perl, Ruby and PHP. You won't see them, because there's no intrinsic value in WS-* unless you're trying to suck money out of your customers. Its complexity serves as a barrier to entry at the same time that it creates "value" that can be sold.

http://www.mnot.net/blog/2006/05/10/vendors

norman yruy_

this is not supposed to be a theological matter

Image: burning at the stake

norman gray_

it's supposed to be engineering

Claim: REST is a better impedance match to the web

Claim: it's worked for 15 years so far (compare CORBA and HTTP: which protocol's endpoints do you most often see on the sides of busses?)

Claim: it's much less brittle than RPC

Compare unix character streams



rest is not the same as http

... though they're often conflated.

HTTP is RFCs and Apache and stuff – web architecture

REST is a design pattern – 'representations', 'state'

HTTP 1.1 was designed with REST in mind, which is why the link is natural

You can talk about REST in purely CRUD terms

... HTTP is just the 'transfer' part.

norman gray_

tool support

'Traditionally' done without tool support, not because it's necessarily *easy*, but because the technology isn't the hard bit. However:

Restlet http://www.restlet.org/: RESTful servlets

JSR-311 http://jcp.org/en/jsr/detail?id=311: JAX-RS – The JavaTM API for RESTful Web Services

WADL https://wadl.dev.java.net/: mostly client support (Matthew)

norman yray_

_there are a few restful koans, though

Ommm...

norman gray_____

use http as an application protocol

... rather than merely a transport protocol.

This is probably the most instructive one. The idea is that, with the principal HTTP verbs GET, PUT, POST and DELETE, you can describe all the important changes to your application state.

And if you really can't, you might ask yourself whether your application should be on the web.

A bit like CRUD in databases.



_name states rather than actions

... or Nouns Not Verbs!

If you get this right, then the previous point is easy.

A name can be passed around straightforwardly on busses (diesel or memory) with less chance of everyone getting confused. You can't do this so easily with a verb/message: whom can I send this message to?, when?, can I replay it?, are *you* allowed to?, can I store/duplicate/discard it? A name's just a name.

URIs name *states* of the applications, and you retrieve *representations*.



_rest is and is not like o-o

You know you've 'got' O-O, when you can see why objects are named with nouns and methods are named with verbs.

A REST-inspired design is the same, except that (in effect) the method names are chosen for you, and the set of names is (in effect) your API. Thus the choice of names becomes a weightier design decision.

The upside is that this forces you to ask yourself some very useful questions about what it is you're designing, and pushes you towards a design that's simple and powerful.

norman yray_

_exploit http – everyone else does

A large chunk of RFC 2616 is taken up with discussing when things may and may not be cached. Hint: GET is idempotent.

Optimisations are a function of the strength of the assertions you can make about a system.

There are probably more HTTP status codes and headers than you recall.

HTTP will change on a vastly slower timescale than your private SOAP schema, thus removing a mass of brittleness immediately.

norman gray_

_avoid fallacies of distributed computing

The network is reliable	Topology doesn't change
Latency is zero	There is one administrator
Bandwidth is infinite	Transport cost is zero
The network is secure	The network is homogeneous



what does a rest service look like?

Guy Rixon's Universal Worker Service (roughly):

POST to http://example.org/uws; get back 201 Created with a Location header .../uws/job123

GET .../uws/job123/expirytime, and PUT .../uws/job123/expirytime to extend the deadline

GET .../uws/job123/results; get 200 OK, 500 Error Or 304 Not Modified with a Retry-After header

Bored? DELETE .../uws/job123





http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2616.txt The biz.

Roy Thomas Fielding, Architectural Styles and the Design of Network-based Software Architectures, 2000, http://www.ics.uci.edu/~fielding/pubs/dissertation/top.htm

REST polemic Stefan Tilkov, 2006

http://www.bejug.org/confluenceBeJUG/display/PARLEYS/ REST+-+The+Better+Web+Services+Model



_quoth...

To give and not to count the cost; / To fight and not to heed the wounds; / To toil and not to seek for **rest**; / To labour and not ask for any reward / Save that of knowing that we do Thy will. — *Loyola, on SOA*

It is a far, far better thing I do, than I have ever done; it is a far, far better **rest**, that I go to, than I have ever — *Dickens, on REST*

norman yruy