Astrochemistry in Galaxies

Franck Le Petit



InterOp May 2014 - ESAC

Multi-wavelength observations of ISM in Galaxies

30 Doradus

- Archives
- Herschel PACS / SPIRE
- ALMA

160µm HERITAGE Meixner+ 2010 Hα MCELS Smith+ [CII] BICE Mochizuki+ 1994. Rubin+ 2009

> [CII] red [OIII] green H-band blue Cont: CO3-2

> > Mélanie Chevance CEA - France

Multi-wavelength observations of ISM in Galaxies

30 Doradus

- Archives
- Herschel PACS / SPIRE
- ALMA



¹²CO 2–1 (ALMA) R. Indebetouw [NeIII] [SIV]

Spitzer IRS (Indebetouw + 09)



Mélanie Chevance CEA - France

Goal of such observations :

- understand the underlying physical processes
- determine characteristics of observed media

Schema of the transition atoms / molecules



PDR modeling

Le Petit et al. (2006), Gonzalez-Garcia et al. (2008), Le Petit et al. (2009), Le Bourlot et al. (2012)

UV

 $H H_2$

PDR Code : computation of the atomic and molecular structure of clouds and analysis of physical processes

- abundances
- excitation states
- temperatures (gas & grains)
- Intensities (H₂, CO, H₂O, ...)
- Column densities

Interpretation of large instruments observations

ALMA, SOFIA, IRAM, HERSCHEL, Spitzer, VLT, HST, FUSE, ...





Molecular

region

Interpretation of observations

Interpretation of line intensities & column densities requires grids of models Exploration of :

- density of clouds
- size of clouds
- intensity of radiation field : UV and X-rays
- metallicity

• ...

- 1 run : 6 hours to several days CPU time Produce various quantities :
 - structure of the cloud
 - thousands line intensities
 - grains temperature and emission
 - spectra

Interpretation requires up to thousands models

→ weeks or months of work !

How to reduce this work to a few minutes ?





Interpretation of observations : grids of models



Result of the inversion

- compare observations with line intensities predictions
- chi2 at each pixel using all lines observed
- deduce UV field and gas density



Theory service to interpret observations

- Run large number of models
 Characterise models with line intensities
- 3. Store them in a database
- 4. Develop a service to browse in the data



Challenges :

Very large number of metadata : +150 000 Large number of models : several thousands How to manage a so large number of metadata ?

- → How to manage a so large number of metadata ?
- Data base challenge (performance)
- Size of the metadata description in the communication client / server
- UI : cannot have 150 000 buttons
 - users cannot learn a 150 000 quantities vocabulary

SimDAL prototype

- Google Bar interface
- Simple multiple queries :

 $\begin{array}{rl} 2.4 \ 10^{20} < N(H2) < 4.4 \ 10^{20} \ cm^{-2} \\ & and \\ 3.0 \ 10^{14} < N(C) < 5 \ 10^{14} \ cm^{-2} \\ & and \\ N(C0) < 1.0 \ 10^{14} \ cm^{-2} \\ & and \\ 2.0 \ 10^{-6} < I(C+, \ 158 \ \mu\text{m}) < 4 \ 10^{-6} \ erg \ s^{-1} \ cm^{-2} \ sr^{-1} \end{array}$

• Fast answers

Grid of models



A&A 483, 485–493 (2008) DOI: 10.1051/0004-6361:20078374 © ESO 2008

Astronomy Astrophysics

Modeling of diffuse molecular gas applied to HD 102065 observations

C. Nehmé¹, J. Le Bourlot¹, F. Boulanger², G. Pineau des Forêts^{2,5}, and C. Gry^{3,4}

¹ LUTH, UMR 8102, CNRS, Université Paris 7 and Observatoire de Paris, Place J. Janssen, 92195 Meudon, France e-mail: Jacques.Lebourlot@obspm.fr

 ² Institut d'Astrophysique Spatiale, UMR 8617, CNRS, Université Paris-Sud, Bât. 121, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France
 ³ Laboratoire d'Astrophysique de Marseille, UMR 6110, CNRS, Université de Provence, 38 rue Frédéric Joliot-Curie, 13388 Marseille Cedex 13, France

⁴ European Space Astronomy Center, RSSD, PO Box 50727, 28080 Madrid, Spain

⁵ LERMA, UMR 8112, CNRS, Observatoire de Paris, France

Received 28 July 2007 / Accepted 23 January 2008

ABSTRACT

Aims. We model a diffuse molecular cloud present along the line of sight to the star HD 102065. We compare our modeling with observations to test our understanding of physical conditions and chemistry in diffuse molecular clouds.

Methods. We analyze an extensive set of spectroscopic observations which characterize the diffuse molecular cloud observed toward HD 102065. Absorption observations provide the extinction curve, H_2 , C I, CO, CH, and CH⁺ column densities and excitation. These data are complemented by observations of C⁺, CO and dust emission. Physical conditions are determined using the Meudon PDR model of UV illuminated gas.

Results. We find that all observational results, except column densities of CH, CH⁺ and H₂ in its excited $(J \ge 2)$ levels, are consistent with a cloud model implying a Galactic radiation field ($G \sim 0.4$ in Draine's unit), a density of 80 cm⁻³ and a temperature (60–80 K) set by the equilibrium between heating and cooling processes. To account for excited $(J \ge 2)$ H₂ levels column densities, an additional component of warm (~250 K) and dense ($n_{\rm H} \ge 10^4$ cm⁻³) gas within 0.03 pc of the star would be required. This solution reproduces the observations only if the ortho-to-para H₂ ratio at formation is ~1. In view of the extreme physical conditions and the unsupported requirement on the ortho-to-para ratio, we conclude that H₂ excitation is most likely to be accounted for by the presence of warm molecular gas within the diffuse cloud heated by the local dissipation of turbulent kinetic energy. This warm H₂ is required to account for the CH⁺ column density. It could also contribute to the CH abundance and explain the inhomogeneity of the CO abundance indicated by the comparison of absorption and emission spectra.

Key words. astrochemistry – ISM: clouds – ISM: molecules – ISM: structure – ISM: individual objects: Chamaeleon clouds – stars: individual: HD 102065

1. Introduction

Since the pioneering work of Black & Dalgarno (1977), observations of diffuse molecular clouds continue to motivate and challenge efforts to model the thermal balance and chemistry of interstellar gas illuminated by UV photons. Models allow observers to determine physical conditions from their data and observations contribute to models by quantifying physical processes of general relevance to studies of matter in space such as H₂ formation, photo-electric heating, and cosmic ray ionization.

Many models of well characterized lines of sight have been presented (e.g. in the last years: Zsargó & Federman 2003; Le Petit et al. 2004; Shaw et al. 2006). They are successful in reproducing many observables apart from some molecular abundances, most conspicuously CH⁺, which points to outof-equilibrium chemistry. This molecular ion, and several of the molecular species commonly observed in diffuse molecular clouds such as CH, OH and HCO⁺ may be produced by MHD shocks (Draine & Katz 1986; Pineau des Forêts et al. 1986; Flower & Pineau des Forêts 1998), and small scale vortices (Joulain et al. 1998; Falgarone et al. 2006) where H₂ is heated by the localized dissipation of the gas turbulent kinetic energy. Turbulent transport between the cold and warm neutral

medium may also significantly impact the chemistry of diffuse clouds (Lesaffre et al. 2007).

Independently of gas chemistry, the presence of H2 at higher temperatures than that set by UV and cosmic-rays heating of diffuse molecular clouds, may be probed through observations of the H₂ level populations (Cecchi-Pestellini et al. 2006). A correlation between CH+ and rotationally excited H2 was found by Lambert & Danks (1986) using Copernicus observations. Falgarone et al. (2005) reported the detection of the S(0) to S(3) H₂ lines in a line of sight towards the inner Galaxy away from star forming regions. They interpret their observation as evidence for traces of warm molecular gas in the diffuse interstellar medium. But the interpretation of the wealth of H2 observations provided by the FUSE satellite is still a matter of debate. Gry et al. (2002) modeled FUSE H₂ observations of three stars in Chamaeleon using the Meudon Photon Dominated Regions (PDR) model (Le Bourlot et al. 1993). They show that the model cannot account for H2 column densities in rotational states with J > 2. A larger sample of H₂ FUSE observations (Tumlinson et al. 2002; Gillmon et al. 2005; Wakker 2006), including 2 of the 3 Chamaeleon lines of sight of Gry et al. (2002), have been analyzed on the basis of model calculations presented by Browning et al. (2003). Their model, like other PDR models, takes into



Table 1. Observational constraints and best model results. Upper part are constraints used in Fig. 2, lower part compares unconstrained observations and results. Number in parentheses are powers of 10.

	X ^{mod}	$X^{ m obs}$	$\sigma_{ m obs}$
$N(CO)/N(H_2)$	1.5 (-7)	1.6 (-7)	$\pm^{0.2(-7)}_{0.15(-7)}$
$N(C I)/N_{H}$	5.8 (-7)	6.0 (-7)	$\pm 1.5(-7)$
$N(C I_{J=1}^{*})/N(C I)$	0.17	0.16	±0.07
$N(C I_{J=2}^{**})/N(C I)$	0.03	0.024	±0.01
$f_{\rm H_2} = \frac{2N({\rm H_2})}{N({\rm H}) + 2N({\rm H_2})}$	0.9	0.69	±0.12
$N({\rm H}_{2}^{\rm o})/N({\rm H}_{2}^{\rm p})$	0.73	0.7	±0.12
$I(C^+)$ (erg/s cm ² sr)	2.0 (-6)	2.8 (-6)	$\pm 0.85(-6)$
$N(CH)/N(H_2)$	8.4 (-9)	1.85 (-8)	$\pm 0.3(-8)$
$N(CN)/N(H_2)$	1.2 (-10)	<1.5(-9)	
$N(C_2)/N(H_2)$	3.6 (-8)	<3.5(-8)	
$N(\mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{J=0}})/N(\mathrm{H_2})$	9.0 (-8)	9.6 (-8)	$\pm^{1.4(-8)}_{1.7(-8)}$
$N(\mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{J}=1})/N(\mathrm{H}_2)$	5.1 (-8)	6.2 (-8)	$\pm_{1,2(-8)}^{\hat{1}.5(-8)}$
$N(\mathrm{CO}_{\mathrm{J=2}})/N(\mathrm{H_2})$	3.7 (-9)	<7.3(-9)	1.2(0)

Quantités observées :

- Densité de colonne de H₂, C, CO
- Populations états quantiques de H₂
- Intensité de C⁺ à 158 µm

Grid of models using the PDR code version 1.5.2 rev814

date of the runs : 2012-12-24

PDR 1.5.2 is the version of the PDR code released in 2013. In this version, Langmuir-Hinshelwood and Eley-Rideal mechanisms are implemented for H₂ formation on grains. Several atomic and molecular data have been updated (collision rates of O, photoreactions cross sections, ...). The chemical network has also been updated from different references. Level excitation and line intensities of CH ⁺ and OH have been introduced for comparison to observations.

See Le Bourlot et al. (2011) for more informations.

protocol (code) : pdr_1_5_2_rev826 ‡

x, y axis :	input parai	meters of the code		
			log	
x axis :	Proton	density (initial value)		
	ex : proto	n density		
y axis :	ISRF s	caling factor (Obs. side		
ex : 19		scaling factor		
z axis : ou	tput calcul	ated value of the code		
z axis :	Ntot(H2	2)		
	ex : Ntot(C+)		
constraint	s on outpu	t calculated values of the	code	
constraints query:		"Ntot(H2) " > 2.4E20 and "Ntot(H2) " < 4.4E2		
		ex : "Ntot(C+)" > 1.6e17 and "Ntot(H2)" < 6e20		

Query :

```
"N(H2)" > 2.4E20 and "N(H2)" < 4.4E20
and "Ntot(C)" > 3.0E14 and "Ntot(C) " < 5.0E14
and "Ntot(C0)" < 1.0E14
and "C+ 158 microns" > 2.0E-6
and "C+ 158 microns" < 3.6E-6</pre>
```

Constraints on:

- Column densities of H₂, C, CO
- Line intensity of C⁺

Results: Visualization in the space parameters of matching models

constraints on output calculated values of the code

constraints query:

"Ntot(H2) " > 2.4E20 and "Ntot(H2) " < 4.4E2

ex : "Ntot(C+)" > 1.6e17 and "Ntot(H2)" < 6e20

Services:

- Download of models
- Extraction of data
- Visualization of other quantities



simdal api client Home About Contact



results of the paper.

protocol:[pdr_1_5_2_rev814] experiment: tdiff_n1e2r1r1z1e1a5m1_20_201212201026 cumulated error: 23.226 %

cd_tot_h2 cd_tot_c

-23.23

Why do we need interoperability ?

Several reasons. One of them :

Gas emission in galaxies comes from :

- PDRs (clouds)
- Shocks
- H II regions

Unresolved observations Need to combine results of different codes





→ interoperability between services required