

# OPERATIONS INTEREST GROUP SUMMARY

Tom McGlynn, Chair

Mark Taylor, Vice Chair

June 1, 2018

- ▶ Improvements in validation statistics from both PADDC and ESA but not necessarily due to changes to services:
  - ▶ Errata in standards
  - ▶ Improvements in Validators
- ▶ Good numbers for Cone and Image services, OK for TAP (given its complexity), still widespread issues for SSA

## WEATHER MAPS AND QUALITY OF IMPLEMENTATION

- ▶ New validator for DataLink
  - ▶ Should DataLinks be validated automatically?
- ▶ Status of validators
  - ▶ VO-DML validator available
  - ▶ Data models will need VO-DML description, not separate validator
  - ▶ No VOEvent, Credential delegation validators
  - ▶ Cleanup of Validator Wiki page
    - ▶ <http://wiki.ivoa.net/twiki/bin/view/IVOA/IvoaValidatorsSummary>

# VALIDATORS STATUS

- ▶ CADC fully integrates VO functionality and has hundreds of millions of VO requests per year.
- ▶ ESASky and GAIA have developed scalable implementations of VO services using modern grid infrastructure that seamlessly handles massive spikes in usage.
- ▶ IRSA review of user clients shows that major element of their non-VO usage is through non-VO based Python clients.

## IMPLEMENTATIONS

- ▶ **Uptake of standards** can be slow (SIAv2) but ultimate value should not be evaluated prematurely (see TAP).
- ▶ Sharing of information of **what clients are using our VO services** is both interesting and useful but should not be mandatory.
  - ▶ Large fraction of users of ESASky are using mobile devices.
- ▶ Growing requirements for the use of **HTTPS** in web sites have broad implications for VO services and protocols. The OIG will draft a note discussing this to inform the community of what they should be aware of and how best to mitigate concerns.
  - ▶ Breaks SAMP, redirection concerns, JavaScript security issues (for mixed content), certificate issues, inability to write simple clients, ...

## GENERAL ISSUES