

# Semantics WG

## How FAIR are our vocabularies?

B. Cecconi

IVOA May 2024, Sydney

# What is a FAIR vocabulary

- Going back to the FAIR principles in Wilkinson et al 2016

## To be Findable:

- F1. (meta)data are assigned a globally unique and persistent identifier
- F2. data are described with rich metadata (defined by R1 below)
- F3. metadata clearly and explicitly include the identifier of the data it describes
- F4. (meta)data are registered or indexed in a searchable resource

## To be Accessible:

- A1. (meta)data are retrievable by their identifier using a standardized communications protocol
- A1.1 the protocol is open, free, and universally implementable
- A1.2 the protocol allows for an authentication and authorization procedure, where necessary

## How to apply this to vocabularies ?

- A2. metadata are accessible, even when the data are no longer available

## To be Interoperable:





- I1. (meta)data use a formal, accessible, shared, and broadly applicable language for knowledge representation.
- I2. (meta)data use vocabularies that follow FAIR principles
- I3. (meta)data include qualified references to other (meta)data

## To be Reusable:

- R1. meta(data) are richly described with a plurality of accurate and relevant attributes
- R1.1. (meta)data are released with a clear and accessible data usage license
- R1.2. (meta)data are associated with detailed provenance
- R1.3. (meta)data meet domain-relevant community standards

# What is FAIR Vocabulary

- FOOPS! team (<https://foops.linkeddata.es/about.html>) proposes the following FAIR criteria for vocabularies

Summary of supported tests	
 <b>Findable</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Ontology URI is resolvable</li><li>• Ontology URI is persistent</li><li>• Version IRI exists (and resolves)</li><li>• Ontology id is ontology URI</li><li>• Minimum metadata is available (e.g., title, description, version info, etc.)</li><li>• Ontology prefix is in registry</li><li>• Ontology is in registry</li></ul>	 <b>Accessible</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Ontology is available in RDF/HTML (content negotiation)</li><li>• Ontology is in a registry (repeated)</li><li>• Ontology is URI is defined in HTTP/HTTPS</li></ul>
 <b>Interoperable</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Ontology is at least available in RDF</li><li>• Ontology reuses known vocabularies for declaring metadata (DC, Schema, PROV, etc.)</li><li>• Ontology extends other vocabularies</li></ul>	 <b>Reusable</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• HTML representation of the ontology exists</li><li>• Extensive metadata is provided with the ontology</li><li>• Labels and descriptions exist for all terms</li><li>• License is provided and resolvable</li><li>• Metadata includes provenance information</li></ul>

# Why should our vocabulary be FAIR ?

- Many data infrastructures are currently built with semantic web foundations.
- Examples :
  - Most data repository landing pages include JSON-LD data
  - Search interfaces like OpenCitation or Scholexplorer
- If we want the IVOA entities (services, catalogues, terms) visible and used in those frameworks, we need to slightly improve our practices.
- The good news is : it's easy and we're almost there.

# OntoPortal prototype

- ontoportal.org (Stanford) is developing a portal framework for ontology management.
- Many communities already in : Biology, Earth Science, Agriculture, Biodiversity, Environmental sciences, Material sciences...
- Astronomy prototype : <http://voparis-ontoportal-dev.obspm.fr>
  - All IVOA vocabularies imported, not successfully for some
  - Scope : celestial astronomy, planetary sciences, heliophysics, particle physics, atomic and molecular data
  - Goal : ease matching, reuse and curation of vocabularies/ontologies

# Testing our vocabularies

- Test interface :

[https://foops.linkeddata.es/FAIR\\_validator.html](https://foops.linkeddata.es/FAIR_validator.html)

- Example results

<http://ivoa.net/rdf/uat>

43%

<https://voparis-ns.obspm.fr/rdf/epr/2.0/target-class>

57%

- In the 2nd example, there are more metadata, including version, preferred namespace prefix...
- What is still missing : using base URI including version, citation information, attribution on each term, persistent identifier (we could argue that ivoa.net should be persistent...), etc

# Evolution of « Vocabularies in the VO »

- Possible pathways :
  - More formal SKOS vocabularies (IVOA-UAT has been fixed)
  - More formal OWL ontologies (e.g., for property vocabularies)
  - Add extra metadata for version management
  - Add extra metadata for attribution (in vocabulary, in term)
  - Add extra metadata for preferred namespace
  - Register our vocabularies in Vocabulary registries
    - e.g., <https://lov.linkeddata.es/> (Linked Open Vocabularies)
  - Set up a persistent URL (but what does this really mean...)