



Science platforms in the age of time-domain astronomy

Robert Nikutta, Astro Data Lab / NOIRLab robert.nikutta@noirlab.edu

November 11, 2023 / IVOA Interop Tucson

Caveats about this presentation

- I'm not much of a TD practitioner!
- I don't write observational proposals
- I don't have watchlists on your favorite alert brokers
- I'm not even a member of this TDIG (but I should join!)
- I've pulled some figures and slides from my smarter colleagues
- Any opinions are my own (and I shall own them alone)

But:

- I come from the perspective of SPs (Astro Data Lab @NOIRLab)
- They have diverse community of users (science interests, professional seniority, culture, age, gender, nationality, available resources at their home institutions, etc.)
- All want to do science, including TD astronomy
 And often this means: whichever way they can accomplish their goals
- Majority of practicing astros don't "care" about standards (as in "they don't think about them much")
 - → In a strong sense: standards are for data service providers. Users exercise them through abstract clients.

From dataset to complex platform







And that's just already observed data...

So, a Science Platform is... (one definition)

- Where big data and compute are co-located = archive + CPU/GPU
- Data services connecting them
- Front-ends and public APIs exposing them

Some common boundary conditions:

- Usually SP defined per-mission or per-organization, or per-country
- Usually own AuthN & Z (though federation does work)
- Usually (but not always) open to a specific (and limited) user community
- Usually limited or tightly controlled resources
- Accessing external compute hard (technically) or impossible (politically)



Example: Astro Data Lab

- Catalogs (ivoa:tap, ivoa:scs, ivoa:ucd)
- MyDB / User DB tables (ivoa:tap)
- Images (ivoa:sia)
- Spectra (custom SPARCL service)
- Remote user file storage (ivoa:vospace)
- Compute: NB servers
- Time domain:
 - Measurement tables
 - ANTARES alert broker filter dev kit
 - TD example NBs
- And 2,800+ users to keep happy...



Data lifecycle



Time domain adds epicycles, e.g.:

- Near-live monitoring for transients
 Filtering alert streams
 - → Might be MMA
- Classification of alert
 - → Too many approaches to list
- Importance weighing / prioritization
 Needle in haystack, or YASN?
- Must have ToO proposal on standby
 And likely at multiple facilities
- Trigger appropriate follow-up obs
 - Right facility
 - Right time
 - Right conditions
- Loop in collaborators, other facilities

The vision vs reality in TD astro (the bad)

- IVOA as a standardizing entity is too slow for the current pace of development
- Funding levels for many (non-datacenter level) projects are chronically low
 → E.g., GOATS core team = 1 scientist + 1 developer
- Adopting "custom" standards is then a luxury
 So they run with off-the-shelf stuff proven to work, e.g., Kafka, JSON, RESTful APIs
- Tech like Kafka and JSON has a *much* larger development community than astronomy
 Much easier to find help, recipes, bug reports, solutions, and actual implementations
- Any S/W engineer entering astro is immediately stopped.
 No REST, no JSON response for service calls? = No framework out there to work with. (See Gregory D-F remarks here and in Bologna)
- It's a race to the top (who does some new science first)
 But from the POV of standards, it's really a race to the bottom (everybody siloes themselves in)

Alert formats and transport protocols

From the new General Coordinates Network site: https://gcn.nasa.gov

For legacy applications **GCN Classic**





One format, one protocol. That's "everybody's protocol", but "GCN's format"

IVOA is being phased out here...

...but could help here.

Kafka

Coming soon

{...}

JSON

GCN Kafka

The vision vs reality (the good)

- High pace of development usually means field isn't mature yet
 It might be good to let is settle a bit
- The largest gorilla sets the "standards"
 - Whatever Rubin, SKA, etc., adopt (but possibly "whatever FAANG adopts")
- Post-facto standardization?
 - → Once field settles, stability of protocols and interfaces will be sought-after

Doesn't mean "Wait and see"

but

"Get biggest players to agree on formats & protocols <u>now</u>" (IVOA or otherwise)

Time-Domain cycle (NOIRLab example)



The future at NOIRLab: GOATS



From Monika Soraisam (GOATS PI)



Gemini Observation and Analysis of Target Systems

- Automatically trigger follow-up observations
- Reduce data via pipelines (auto or interactive)
- Automatically transfer all raw and reduced data to archive

SPs as "glue" of all that

- All functionality is a "pip install" away, e.g. clients to filter alert broker streams
- Big data co-located (e.g., for MMA)
- Compute next to it (e.g., for classification work)
- User storage (files and DBs)
- Integrate TOMs, meta-TOMs, e.g., GOATS
 - → Interface with Data Lab planned for 2025/2026
- Integrate data reduction pipelines (e.g., DRAGONS)
- Analysis frameworks and compute (e.g., Jupyter)
- Plus all of Python, Viz, collaboration, etc.



