

Feedback on the implementation of ADQL-2.1

Grégory Mantelet¹

¹CDS (Centre de Données astronomiques de Strasbourg)

12 October 2019



Université

de Strasbourg

□ Table of Contents

A. Implementation status

B. Raised issues

C. ADQL-2.1 roadmap

X. Appendices

□ A. Implementation status

A. Implementation status

1. ADQL-Lib v2.0
2. Optional features

B. Raised issues

C. ADQL-2.1 roadmap

X. Appendices

□ A.1. ADQL-Lib v2.0 - I

- VOLLT/ADQL-Lib **v2.0** : new major release under development
- implementation of ADQL-2.1
- Possible to test the parsing, checking and translation online:

<http://cdsportal.u-strasbg.fr/adqltuto/validator.html>



A.1. ADQL-Lib v2.0 - II

ADQL Lib. - Home Getting started Documentation - Javadoc UML ADQL Validator Download What's new?

Query to validate

ADQL query:

```
1 Select main_id AS "Object name", ra || ' - ' || dec AS "Position"
2 From basic
3 Where Contains(Point('ICRS', ra, dec), Circle('ICRS', 10, 5, 1)) = 1
4 Order By 1 ASC;
```

(optional) ADQL version: (PR-2018-01-12; partially implemented: missing UNION/INTERSECT/EXCEPT and CAST(...))

(optional) Tables and columns:

None OR URL: OR a file: Aucun fichier sélectionné.

(optional) ADQL to SQL translator to use: (adql.translator.PgSphereTranslator)

Parse ADQL !

Parsing result

Correct ADQL !

SQL translation

The same query you have written but in a SQL executable by Postgres+PgSphere

```
1. SELECT "public"."basic"."main_id" AS "Object name" , "public"."basic"."ra" || ' - ' || "public"."basic"."dec" AS "Position"
2. FROM "public"."basic"
3. WHERE @point(radians("public"."basic"."ra"),radians("public"."basic"."dec")) @ scircle(sp@point(radians(10),radians(5)),radians(1)) = '1'
4. ORDER BY 1 ASC
```

□ A.1. ADQL-Lib v2.0 - III

- Choice of the **ADQL version**:

```
// 1. CREATE A PARSER:
```

```
ADQLParser parser = new ADQLParser(ADQLVersion.V2_1);
```

```
// 2. PARSE AN ADQL QUERY:
```

```
ADQLQuery query = parser.parseQuery("SELECT ...");
```

```
// 3. Do whatever you want with the result:
```

```
...
```

- **API altered**: *adaptations will be needed on ADQL-Lib user side ; nothing should change for users of TAP-Lib (and UWS-Lib)*

□ A.2. Supported features

- Better/Generic support of **optional features**:

Feature	Supported in the parser?
LOWER, ILIKE	YES
OFFSET	YES
WITH	YES
<i>Bitwise operators</i>	<i>YES, but...</i>
IN_UNIT	YES (<i>DB impl. required</i>)
<i>UNION, INTERSECT, EXCEPT</i>	Not yet
<i>CAST, TIMESTAMP, INTERVAL</i>	???

□ A.2. Optional feat. vs DBMS

	Postgres	PgSphere	MySQL	SQLServer
Geometries	NO	YES	NO	NO
LOWER	YES	YES	YES	YES
ILIKE	YES	YES	NO	NO
OFFSET	YES	YES	YES	YES
WITH	YES	YES	YES	YES
Bitwise operators	YES	YES	YES	YES
IN_UNIT	NO	NO	NO	NO
UNION, ...	<i>should</i>	<i>should</i>	<i>should</i>	<i>should</i>
CAST, ...	???	???	???	???

□ B. Raised issues

A. Implementation status

B. Raised issues

1. REGION
2. COOSYS
3. IN_UNIT
4. WITH

C. ADQL-2.1 roadmap

X. Appendices

□ B.1. REGION

REGION removed from the ADQL-2.1's document

- => no more backward compatibility and still very useful for some existing TAP services
- ***Should come back in ADQL-2.1***
 - the argument **may be an STC-S** (for backward compatibility),
 - but the syntax of the argument **should be the one defined in DALI**

□ B.2. COOSYS - I

COOSYS

1. Possible ambiguity with POLYGON and its # of arguments

Example: *POLYGON(ra, dec, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7)* is OK according to the parser because *ra*, as a column, could also be a coordinate system

- No proposed solution, but should probably be raised somehow in the REC

□ B.2. COOSYS - II

2. **Mechanism to discover the coordinate system** : in section 4.2.15 about COORDSYS:

Details of the coordinate system for a database column are available as part of the service metadata, available via the TAP_SCHEMA tables [...]

....but nowhere in the TAP standard it is mentioned.

- Not sure it should be fixed (COOSYS being now deprecated), but just want to mention it
- **Solution in ADQL-2.1:** remove this text
- **But also a suggestion for TAP-1.x's authors:**
 - table TAP_SCHEMA.COOSYS
 - and column coosys_id in TAP_SCHEMA.columns
 - see <http://gaia.ari.uni-heidelberg.de/tap/tables>

□ B.3. IN_UNIT

IN_UNIT(value, target_unit)

- **Origin unit?**
 - ok if value is a column published in TAP_SCHEMA with a unit
 - *what about numeric constants and operation results?*
- **Solution 1:** assume the given numeric is in the target unit = no conversion, just set a piece of metadata
- **Solution 2:** ~~another function with a 3rd argument:~~
 1. ~~value to convert~~
 2. ~~origin unit~~
 3. ~~target unit~~

□ B.4. WITH - I

WITH

1. # of labels VS # of output columns:

- **Example:**

```
WITH t1 ( ra, dec )  
      AS (SELECT col1, col2, col3, col4 FROM ...)
```

- Currently, ADQL-Lib expects a strict equality
- **Solution:** remove the CTE's labels
 - *Why? they are really useful only with recursive CTEs, which are not supported in ADQL-2.1 ; so, that would just add useless confusion in ADQL*
- (CTE = Common Table Expression ~ a table defined in the WITH clause)

□ B.4. WITH - II

2. Unicity of column names:

- **Example:**

```
WITH cte AS (  
    SELECT t1.name, t2.name  
    FROM t1 JOIN t2 ON ...  
)  
SELECT cte.name  
FROM cte
```

- No problem for databases... *until a duplicated column name has to be used/referenced in the query*
- *Write a warning in the ADQL-2.1 document?*
 - *... but probably a more general issue in ADQL (e.g. output columns, case sensitivity, default column labels, ...)*

□ 5. Others...

- *See appendices for:*
 - *other minor issues*
 - *the case of bitwise operators and hexadecimal*

□ C. ADQL-2.1 roadmap

A. Implementation status

B. Raised issues

C. ADQL-2.1 roadmap

1. What changed?

2. Status & Roadmap

X. Appendices

□ C.1. What changed? - I

- **Changed:**

- deprecation of the coosys argument in geometries + COOSYS(...)
- REGION argument's syntax defined by DALI
- explicit definition of supported datatypes + add BOOLEAN
- preferred xmatch syntax (i.e. DISTANCE instead of CONTAINS)
- fix/clarify text of misc things (e.g. subqueries, mod(...), ...)
- fix typos

□ C.1. What changed? - II

- **New:**
 - LOWER(...), UPPER(...)
 - ILIKE
 - IN_UNIT(...)
 - OFFSET
 - WITH (*i.e. Common Table Expression = CTE*)
 - UNION, INTERSECT, EXCEPT
 - add a comment about the [Endorsed Note “Catalogue of ADQL User Defined Functions” - 1.0](#), Jon Juaristi Campillo, et.al.
 - *CAST(...), TIMESTAMP(...), INTERVAL(...)*???
 - *under discussion*
 - ~~*bitwise operators and hexadecimal*~~

□ C.2. Status & Roadmap

- Currently **P**roposed **R**ecommendation since Jan-2018
- Known implementations:
 - DACHS
 - VOLLT
- Now, the plan is:
 1. fix the few raised issues in the PR
 2. start RFC, end 2019
 3. Ideally: REC at next May-Interop

□ X. Appendices

A. Implementation status

B. Raised issues

C. ADQL-2.1 roadmap

X. Appendices

1. Misc. minor issues

2. Bitwise operators

□ X.1.a. LOWER, ILIKE

- still in <SQL_reserved_word>
- not defined elsewhere in the BNF

```
<lower_function> ::=  
    LOWER <left_paren> <character_value_expression> <right_paren>
```

```
<string_value_function> ::=  
    <string_geometry_function>  
    | <lower_function>  
    | <user_defined_function>
```

□ X.1.b. INTERSECT vs UNION,

- INTERSECT has the *same description as EXCEPT*
- INTERSECT has apparently a precedence.

```
<non_join_query_expression> ::=  
  <non_join_query_term>  
  | <query_expression> UNION [ ALL ] <query_term>  
  | <query_expression> EXCEPT [ ALL ] <query_term>
```

```
<non_join_query_primary> ::=  
  <query_specification>  
  | <left_paren> <non_join_query_expression> <right_paren>
```

```
<non_join_query_term> ::=  
  <non_join_query_primary>  
  | <query_term> INTERSECT [ ALL ] <query_expression>
```

- => *Re-writing on its way...*

□ X.1.c. IN_UNIT

- Missing BNF for IN_UNIT

□ X.1.d. IVOID for opt. feat.

The following IVOIDs are not defined in TAPRegExt (1.x):

- `ivo://ivoa.net/std/TAPRegExt#features-adql-string`
- `ivo://ivoa.net/std/TAPRegExt#features-adql-sets`
- `ivo://ivoa.net/std/TAPRegExt#features-adql-common-table`
- `ivo://ivoa.net/std/TAPRegExt#features-adql-type`
- `ivo://ivoa.net/std/TAPRegExt#features-adql-unit`
- `ivo://ivoa.net/std/TAPRegExt#features-adql-bitwise`
- `ivo://ivoa.net/std/TAPRegExt#features-adql-offset`
- => ***TAPRegExt should be updated***

□ X.2.a. Un-/signed

Integer encoding/sign specification for bitwise operations:

	SQL	Result
PostgreSQL	~3	-4
SQLServer	~3	-4
MySQL	~3	18446744073709551612
MySQL	CAST(~3 AS signed)	-4

- *Something should be written about the integer encoding expected when using bitwise operations in the ADQL-2.1 document*

□ X.2.b. Hexadecimal. - I

Hexadecimal values (e.g. 0x42)

1. Smallint, integer or bigint?

Signed or unsigned? Should it be determined automatically from the number of hexadecimal digits? If less digits, are they the strong or weak bits?

- **Example:** $0xFFFF = -1$ if interpreted as smallint, $0xFFFF = 32768$ when interpreted as an integer or long.

□ X.2.b. Hexadecimal - I

- **Currently, in VOLLT:**
 - **Default:**
 - if # of hexa. digits > 8, then BIGINT, else, INTEGER
 - given digits represent the weak bits ; **e.g.** `0xFF` = `0x00FF` as an integer, and = `0x000000FF` as a long
 - **Exception:** VOLLT forbids hexadecimal literals with MySQL
 - **Why?** MySQL can not interpret `0xFFFFFFFF` as an integer (i.e. -1). It always represents it as a bigint (i.e. 4294967295)...even with any combination of `CAST(... AS signed integer)` and `CONV('...', -16, 10)`.

□ X.2.c. Hexadecimal II

2. Is it really a good idea to **consider hexadecimal values as numeric**?
 - In all DBMS, it is considered as a binary string.

Conclusion on hexadecimal: no hexadecimal values in ADQL-2.1

□ X.2.d. BIT_NOT - I

2. Incorrect BNF syntax of BIT_NOT (~):

- **Example:** $\sim 3/2 = \sim(3/2) = -4$
- Instead of **applying on a single term** as in any DBMS:
 - **Example:** $\sim 3/2 = (\sim 3)/2 = -2$

□ X.2.d. BIT_NOT - II

- **Current BNF:**

```
<bitwise_expression> ::=  
  **<bitwise_not> <numeric_value_expression>**  
  | <numeric_value_expression>  
    (<bitwise_and> | <bitwise_or> | <bitwise_xor>)  
    <numeric_value_expression>
```

- **Suggested correction:**

```
<factor> ::= [ <sign> | **<bitwise_not>** ]  
             <numeric_primary>
```

```
<bitwise_expression> ::=  
  <numeric_value_expression>  
  (<bitwise_and> | <bitwise_or> | <bitwise_xor>)  
  <numeric_value_expression>
```

□ X.2.e. Precedence - I

3. Precedence of all numeric/bitwise operators together?

*Example: Interpretation of $\sim 3-1|2*5^6/1+2$:*

DBMS	Interpretation	Result
PostgreSQL	$((\sim(3-1)) (2*5))^((6/1)+2)$	-9
MySQL	$((\sim 3)-1) (((2*(5^6))/1)+2)$	-5
SQLServer	$((((\sim 3)-1) (2*5))^((6/1)))+2$	-1
BNF ADQL-2.1	$\sim(((3-1) (2*5))^((6/1)+2))$	-3
C/Java	$((\sim 3)-1) ((2*5)^((6/1)+2))$	-5
VOLLT	$((\sim 3)-1) ((2*5)^((6/1)+2))$	-5

□ X.2.e. Precedence - II

- Precedence rules:

DBMS	Precedence rule
PostgreSQL	[++] >> [*/] >> [+-] >> [~] >> [^&]
MySQL	[~++] >> [^] >> [+*/] >> [&] >> []
SQLServer	[~++] >> [*/] >> [+~&]
BNF ADQL-2.1	[++] >> [*/] >> [+-] >> [^&] >> [~]
C/Java	[~++] >> [*/] >> [+-] >> [&] >> [^] >> []
VOLLT	[~++] >> [*/] >> [+-] >> [^&]

See also

https://rosettacode.org/wiki/Operator_precedence

- **Alternative solution:** use functions instead of operators
(*after all, users will write parenthesis anyway*)
- **Chosen solution:** no bitwise operators in ADQL-2.1