

# TCG Teleconf - 28th of February 2012

## 1 Introduction and round table presence

Participants  
TCG – Christophe, Severin  
Apps – Mark T.  
DAL – Pat, Mike  
DM – Jesus  
GWS – Andreas  
Registry – Gretchen  
Semantics – Norman  
VOEvent – Matthew  
Theory – Herve  
Stds and Proc. – Françoise  
CSP – Mark A.  
Interop POC – Nic W.

Excused  
Ajit, Omar, Andre, Pierre, Norman, Franck

## 2 Status of standards in REC process

Christophe reminds all TCG members of the high importance for WG chairs to read the PR DURING the initial RFC page and to NOT just during the TCG review period, in order to ensure that major comments are flagged as early as possible in the RFC period.

Christophe also reminds that the TCG review is meant for the “The TCG then has four weeks to make a final review of the document and the public record of comments and responses as a final check for interface problems or compatibility concerns with the standards developed by other Working Groups.” This final review is mainly to check consistency and coherency of the PR with the IVOA Architecture and existing IVOA Standards.

### 2.1 *StandardsRegExt 1.0*

TCG review formally ended 21/Oct/2011  
Some comments were brought by the GWS, which required some changes. Ray has implemented these and sent the updated PR to the WG for information / comments.  
There was some questions about these changes, but everybody agreed that they were useful for the readability of the document so they were accepted.

**2012-02-28#01** on Gretchen, Jesus, Andreas to give their formal approval (or not) for StandardsRegExt 1.0  
Due: 02/March

Afterwards, if approved, Christophe could send StandardsRegExt 1.0 to the Exec for approval by email.

## 2.2 *SimDM 1.0*

TCG review formally ended on 20/Nov/2011

TCG chair has made some significant comments on the form of the document, rather than on the content itself. Herve and Franck are addressing these will be issuing a new PR document accordingly

There have been some comments from the Apps WG which have been addressed or are being addressed as well with the new PR document.

It is agreed by all that the Simulation DM is OK and has been stable, there are various implementations described in a dedicated note. Most of the comments have been rather on the form of the document and on the implementation note. Herve confirmed these have been answered on the wiki page and are being addressed in the new PR document. Herve mentioned that it is now time for the TCG to approve or not the updated PR document as it will be produced. We agreed to the following actions

2012-02-28#02 on HW to produce an updated PR for SimDM 1.0

Due: 02/March

2012-02-28#03 on all TCG members to give their formal approval (or not) for SimDM 1.0

Due: 09/March

Afterwards, if approved, Christophe could send SimDM 1.0 to the Exec for approval by email.

## 2.3 *SAMP 1.3*

TCG review formally ended on 27/Feb/2012

This has been quite a smooth ride and most of the approvals have already been put on the wiki page.

2012-02-28#04 on all Andreas, Matthew to give their formal approval (or not) for SAMP 1.3

Due: 09/March

Afterwards, if approved, Christophe could send SAMP 1.3 to the Exec for approval by email.

## 2.4 *TAPRegExt 1.0*

RFC period has started 14/Feb up to 13/March

As mentioned earlier, Christophe strongly encourage WG chairs to read the PR during this stage and provide their comments if any. This is particularly relevant for WG which could be more affected by this standards, ie DAL, Registry and Apps.

### 3 **Urbana Interop Program 21-25 May 2012**

At the last Exec, there has been some discussion about some more EPO oriented session. Although this is still to be organized, the TCG senses very strongly that we need to keep the standard technical work to be done during the normal sessions.

We agreed of the benefit of having a dedicated plenary session on implementation feedback. Francoise proposed to organize it again. Mark Allen said that this was also mentioned in the CSP context and we felt it would be beneficial for him to co-organize this session.

2012-02-28#05 on Francoise and Mark A. to organize a implementation feedback session during the Urbana Interop

We discussed the discussions that would require special care when planning the programme, and in particular the ones than might require plenary session:

- VOTable 1.3, Utypes, VOUnits

Severin will gather the requirements for sessions from the various WG and IG to start compiling a programme and we should finalize the program in a dedicated TCG teleconf mid April.

2012-02-28#06 on Severin to get from all WG and IG chairs to send their requirements for sessions.

Due: 16/March

2012-02-28#07 on CA to initiate a poll for a TCG teleconf mid April to finalize the Urbana Interop programme

Due: 09/March

### 4 **TCG Meeting on Sunday 20/May prior to Urbana interop**

Christophe / Severin will prepare an agenda that should cover amongst other things

- IVOA EXEC ACTION FM38-5 TCG next meeting should discuss implementation and validation tools for data centres to take-up VO Standards.
- IVOA Architecture update
- Status of standards impacting various WG / IG, in particular VOTable 1.3, Utypes 1.0, VOUnits 1.0
- Assessment of potential future IVOA Standards required to address new science cases coming from the CSP

IVOA Exec will take place on Sunday 20/May at 17:00

- WG / IG chairs are expected to attend the 1<sup>st</sup> part of the meeting

### 5 **AOB**