

International

Virtual

**O**bservatory

Alliance

# IVOA Registry Interfaces Version 0.8.2

# **IVOA Working Draft 2004 June 16**

#### This version:

 $0.8.1\ http://www.ivoa.net/internal/IVOA/RegistryInterface/IVOARegistryIntervace-0.8.1.pdf$ 

#### Latest version:

LatestVersion

#### **Previous versions:**

PreviousVersion(s)-YYYYMMDD

Authors:

Kevin Benson, Elizabeth Auden, Matthew Graham, Gretchen Greene, Martin Hill, Tony Linde, Dave Morris, Wil O'Mullane, Ray Plante, Guy Rixon, Kona Andrews

# Abstract

Registries provide a mechanism with which VO applications can discover and select resources—e.g. data and services—that are relevant for a particular scientific problem. This specification defines the interfaces that support interactions between applications and registries as well as between the registries themselves. It is based on a general, distributed model composed of so-called searchable and publishing registries. The specification has two main components: an interface for searching and an interface for harvesting. All interfaces are defined by a standard Web Service Description Language (WSDL) document; however, harvesting is also supported through the existing Open Archives Initiative Protocol for Metadata Harvesting, defined as an HTTP GET Finally, this specification details the metadata used to describe interface. registries themselves as resources using an extension of the VOResource metadata schema.

# **Status of This Document**

This is a Working Draft. The first release of this document was 2006 June 14.

This is an IVOA Working Draft for review by IVOA members and other interested parties. It is a draft document and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use IVOA Working Drafts as reference materials or to cite them as other than "work in progress."

A list of <u>current IVOA Recommendations and other technical documents</u> can be found at http://www.ivoa.net/Documents/.

# Acknowledgements

This document has been developed with support from the <u>National Science</u> <u>Foundation's</u> Information Technology Research Program under Cooperative Agreement AST0122449 with The Johns Hopkins University, from the <u>UK</u> <u>Particle Physics and Astronomy Research Council (PPARC)</u>, and from the <u>European Commission's Sixth Framework Program</u> via the <u>Optical Infrared</u> <u>Coordination Network (OPTICON)</u>.

## **Conformance-related definitions**

The words "MUST", "SHALL", "SHOULD", "MAY", "RECOMMENDED", and "OPTIONAL" (in upper or lower case) used in this document are to be interpreted as described in IETF standard, RFC 2119 [RFC 2119].

The **Virtual Observatory (VO)** is a general term for a collection of federated resources that can be used to conduct astronomical research, education, and outreach. The <u>International Virtual Observatory Alliance (IVOA)</u> is a global collaboration of separately funded projects to develop standards and infrastructure that enable VO applications.

A **Web Service** (when capitalized as it is here) refers to a service that is in actuality described by a <u>Web Service Description Language (WSDL)</u> [WSDLv1.1] document.

#### **Editor's Note:**

This document contains two types of boxed comments like this one. Those marked "Editor's Note" represents comments intended for the standard editors and for reviewers; these comments would be removed when the issues they discuss are addressed. Those marked simply as "Note" are intended for those who will implement the standard, and are intended to provide tips and further explanation of how the standard is expected to be used. These notes are expected to remain embedded in the final version of the document

# Contents

2.1Handling large volume of data82.1.1Paginate Scheme92.1.2Identifiers scheme102.2Constraint-based Search Query102.2.1Restrictions on the use of XPath in ADQL112.3Keyword Search Query122.4Single Resource Search Query132.5Xquery Search132.6Using Registry Resource for Specific Searching143Harvesting143.1A Summary of the OAI Web Service Interface153.1.2Metadata Formats for Resource Descriptions173.1.3Identifiers in OAI Messages183.1.4Required Records183.1.5The Identify Operation193.2Harvesters204Registering Registries Harvesting21Appendix A.1Sample extension of vg:Registry21Appendix A.2Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters22Appendix A.4Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters	Abstract1	1
Conformance-related definitions       2         Contents       3         1       Introduction       3         1.1       Registry Architecture and Definitions       4         1.2       Specification Summary       6         2       Searching       7         2.1       Handling large volume of data       8         2.1.1       Paginate Scheme       9         2.1.2       Identifiers scheme       10         2.2       Constraint-based Search Query.       10         2.3       Keyword Search Query.       10         2.4       Single Resource Search Query.       12         2.4       Single Resource Search Query.       13         2.5       Xquery Search       13         2.6       Using Registry Resource for Specific Searching.       14         3.1.1       A Summary of the OAI Web Service Interface       14         3.1.1       A Summary of the OAI Web Service Interface       15         3.1.3       Identifiers in OAI Messages       18         3.1.4       Required Records       18         3.1.5       The Identify Operation       19         3.1.6       IVOA Supported Sets       19         3.2       H	Status of This Document	2
Contents31Introduction31.1Registry Architecture and Definitions41.2Specification Summary62Searching72.1Handling large volume of data82.1.1Paginate Scheme92.1.2Identifiers scheme102.2Constraint-based Search Query102.3Keyword Search Query102.4Single Resource Search Query122.4Single Resource Search Query132.5Xquery Search132.6Using Registry Resource for Specific Searching143.1.1A Summary of the OAI Web Service Interface143.1.2Metadata Formats for Resource Descriptions173.1.3Identifiers in OAI Messages183.1.4Required Records183.1.5The Identify Operation193.1.6IVOA Supported Sets193.2Harvestres204Registering Registries Harvesting21Appendix A.1Sample extension of vg:Registry21Appendix A.2Web Services Definition Language Document for Search Interface22Appendix A.4Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters	Acknowledgements	2
1       Introduction       3         1.1       Registry Architecture and Definitions       4         1.2       Specification Summary       6         2       Searching       7         2.1       Handling large volume of data       8         2.1.1       Paginate Scheme       9         2.1.2       Identifiers scheme       10         2.2       Constraint-based Search Query       10         2.2.1       Restrictions on the use of XPath in ADQL       11         2.3       Keyword Search Query       12         2.4       Single Resource Search Query       12         2.4       Single Resource Search Query       12         2.4       Single Resource for Specific Searching       14         3.1.5       Xquery Search       13         2.6       Using Registry Resource for Specific Searching       14         3.1.1       A Summary of the OAI Web Service Interface       15         3.1.2       Metadata Formats for Resource Descriptions       17         3.1.3       Identifiers in OAI Messages       18         3.1.4       Required Records       18         3.1.5       The Identify Operation       19         3.1.6       IVOA Supported	Conformance-related definitions	2
1.1       Registry Architecture and Definitions       4         1.2       Specification Summary       6         2       Searching       7         2.1       Handling large volume of data       8         2.1.1       Paginate Scheme       9         2.1.2       Identifiers scheme       9         2.1.2       Identifiers scheme       10         2.2       Constraint-based Search Query       10         2.3       Keyword Search Query       12         2.4       Single Resource Search Query       12         2.4       Single Resource Search Query       13         2.5       Xquery Search       13         2.6       Using Registry Resource for Specific Searching       14         3.1 Harvesting       14         3.1.1       A Summary of the OAI Web Service Interface       14         3.1.2       Metadata Formats for Resource Descriptions       17         3.1.3       Identifiers in OAI Messages       18         3.1.4       Required Records       18         3.1.5       The Identify Operation       19         3.1.6       IVOA Supported Sets       19         3.2       Harvesters       20         4	Contents	3
1.2       Specification Summary       6         2       Searching       7         2.1       Handling large volume of data       8         2.1.1       Paginate Scheme       9         2.1.2       Identifiers scheme       9         2.1.2       Identifiers scheme       10         2.2       Constraint-based Search Query       10         2.3       Keyword Search Query       12         2.4       Single Resource Search Query       12         2.4       Single Resource Search Query       13         2.5       Xquery Search       13         2.6       Using Registry Resource for Specific Searching       14         3.1 Harvesting       14         3.1.1       A Summary of the OAI Web Service Interface       15         3.1.2       Metadata Formats for Resource Descriptions       17         3.1.3       Identifiers in OAI Messages       18         3.1.4       Required Records       18         3.1.5       The Identify Operation       19         3.1.6       IVOA Supported Sets       19         3.2       Harvestres       20         4       Registering Registries Harvesting       21         Appendix A.1 </td <td></td> <td></td>		
2       Searching	1.1 Registry Architecture and Definitions <sup>2</sup>	4
2.1Handling large volume of data82.1.1Paginate Scheme92.1.2Identifiers scheme102.2Constraint-based Search Query102.2.1Restrictions on the use of XPath in ADQL112.3Keyword Search Query122.4Single Resource Search Query132.5Xquery Search132.6Using Registry Resource for Specific Searching143Harvesting143.1A Summary of the OAI Web Service Interface153.1.2Metadata Formats for Resource Descriptions173.1.3Identifiers in OAI Messages183.1.4Required Records183.1.5The Identify Operation193.2Harvesters204Registering Registries Harvesting21Appendix A.1Sample extension of vg:Registry21Appendix A.2Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters22Appendix A.4Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters22	1.2 Specification Summary	5
2.1.1Paginate Scheme92.1.2Identifiers scheme102.2Constraint-based Search Query102.1Restrictions on the use of XPath in ADQL112.3Keyword Search Query122.4Single Resource Search Query132.5Xquery Search132.6Using Registry Resource for Specific Searching143Harvesting143.1A Summary of the OAI Web Service Interface153.1.2Metadata Formats for Resource Descriptions173.1.3Identifiers in OAI Messages183.1.4Required Records183.1.5The Identify Operation193.2Harvesters204Registering Registries Harvesting21Appendix A.1Sample extension of vg:Registry21Appendix A.2Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters22Appendix A.4Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters22	2 Searching	7
2.1.2Identifiers scheme102.2Constraint-based Search Query102.2.1Restrictions on the use of XPath in ADQL112.3Keyword Search Query122.4Single Resource Search Query132.5Xquery Search132.6Using Registry Resource for Specific Searching143Harvesting143.1Harvesting Interface143.1.1A Summary of the OAI Web Service Interface153.1.2Metadata Formats for Resource Descriptions173.1.3Identifiers in OAI Messages183.1.4Required Records193.1.5The Identify Operation193.1.6IVOA Supported Sets193.2Harvesters204Registering Registries Harvesting21Appendix A.1Sample extension of vg:Registry21Appendix A.2Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters22Appendix A.4Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters22	2.1 Handling large volume of data	3
2.2Constraint-based Search Query.102.2.1Restrictions on the use of XPath in ADQL112.3Keyword Search Query.122.4Single Resource Search Query.132.5Xquery Search.132.6Using Registry Resource for Specific Searching.143Harvesting143.1Harvesting Interface143.1.1A Summary of the OAI Web Service Interface153.1.2Metadata Formats for Resource Descriptions173.1.3Identifiers in OAI Messages183.1.4Required Records193.1.6IVOA Supported Sets193.2Harvesters204Registering Registries Harvesting.21Appendix A.1Sample extension of vg:Registry21Appendix A.2Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters22Appendix A.4Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters22	2.1.1 Paginate Scheme	9
2.2.1Restrictions on the use of XPath in ADQL.112.3Keyword Search Query.122.4Single Resource Search Query.132.5Xquery Search.132.6Using Registry Resource for Specific Searching.143Harvesting.143.1Harvesting Interface.143.1.1A Summary of the OAI Web Service Interface153.1.2Metadata Formats for Resource Descriptions.173.1.3Identifiers in OAI Messages183.1.4Required Records.193.1.5The Identify Operation.193.2Harvesters204Registering Registries Harvesting.21Appendix A.1Sample extension of vg:Registry.21Appendix A.2Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters22Appendix A.4Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters	2.1.2 Identifiers scheme	)
2.3Keyword Search Query.122.4Single Resource Search Query.132.5Xquery Search.132.6Using Registry Resource for Specific Searching.143Harvesting143.1Harvesting Interface143.1.1A Summary of the OAI Web Service Interface153.1.2Metadata Formats for Resource Descriptions173.1.3Identifiers in OAI Messages183.1.4Required Records183.1.5The Identify Operation193.1.6IVOA Supported Sets193.2Harvesters204Registering Registries Harvesting21Appendix A.1Sample extension of vg:Registry21Appendix A.2Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters22Appendix A.4Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters22	2.2 Constraint-based Search Query	)
2.4Single Resource Search Query.132.5Xquery Search.132.6Using Registry Resource for Specific Searching.143Harvesting143.1Harvesting Interface.143.1.1A Summary of the OAI Web Service Interface153.1.2Metadata Formats for Resource Descriptions.173.1.3Identifiers in OAI Messages183.1.4Required Records.183.1.5The Identify Operation.193.1.6IVOA Supported Sets193.2Harvesters204Registering Registries Harvesting.21Appendix A.1Sample extension of vg:Registry.21Appendix A.2Web Services Definition Language Document for Search Interface22Appendix A.4Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters	2.2.1 Restrictions on the use of XPath in ADQL	1
2.5Xquery Search.132.6Using Registry Resource for Specific Searching.143Harvesting143.1Harvesting Interface.143.1.1A Summary of the OAI Web Service Interface153.1.2Metadata Formats for Resource Descriptions173.1.3Identifiers in OAI Messages183.1.4Required Records183.1.5The Identify Operation193.1.6IVOA Supported Sets193.2Harvesters204Registering Registries Harvesting21Appendix A.1Sample extension of vg:Registry.21Appendix A.2Web Services Definition Language Document for Search Interface22Appendix A.4Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters	2.3 Keyword Search Query	2
2.6Using Registry Resource for Specific Searching143Harvesting143.1Harvesting Interface143.1.1A Summary of the OAI Web Service Interface153.1.2Metadata Formats for Resource Descriptions173.1.3Identifiers in OAI Messages183.1.4Required Records183.1.5The Identify Operation193.1.6IVOA Supported Sets193.2Harvesters204Registering Registries Harvesting21Appendix A.1Sample extension of vg:Registry21Appendix A.2Web Services Definition Language Document for Search Interface22Appendix A.4Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters	2.4 Single Resource Search Query	3
3Harvesting143.1 Harvesting Interface143.1.1A Summary of the OAI Web Service Interface153.1.2Metadata Formats for Resource Descriptions173.1.3Identifiers in OAI Messages183.1.4Required Records183.1.5The Identify Operation193.1.6IVOA Supported Sets193.2Harvesters204Registering Registries Harvesting21Appendix A.1Sample extension of vg:Registry21Appendix A.2Web Services Definition Language Document for Search Interface22Appendix A.4Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters		
3.1 Harvesting Interface143.1.1A Summary of the OAI Web Service Interface153.1.2Metadata Formats for Resource Descriptions173.1.3Identifiers in OAI Messages183.1.4Required Records183.1.5The Identify Operation193.1.6IVOA Supported Sets193.2Harvesters204Registering Registries Harvesting21Appendix A.1Sample extension of vg:Registry21Appendix A.2Web Services Definition Language Document for Search Interface22Appendix A.4Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters	2.6 Using Registry Resource for Specific Searching	4
3.1.1A Summary of the OAI Web Service Interface153.1.2Metadata Formats for Resource Descriptions173.1.3Identifiers in OAI Messages183.1.4Required Records183.1.5The Identify Operation193.1.6IVOA Supported Sets193.2Harvesters204Registering Registries Harvesting21Appendix A.1Sample extension of vg:Registry21Appendix A.2Web Services Definition Language Document for Search Interface22Appendix A.4Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters22	3 Harvesting	1
3.1.2Metadata Formats for Resource Descriptions173.1.3Identifiers in OAI Messages183.1.4Required Records183.1.5The Identify Operation193.1.6IVOA Supported Sets193.2Harvesters204Registering Registries Harvesting21Appendix A.1Sample extension of vg:Registry21Appendix A.2Web Services Definition Language Document for Search Interface22Appendix A.4Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters	3.1 Harvesting Interface	1
3.1.3Identifiers in OAI Messages183.1.4Required Records183.1.5The Identify Operation193.1.6IVOA Supported Sets193.2Harvesters204Registering Registries Harvesting21Appendix A.1Sample extension of vg:Registry21Appendix A.2Web Services Definition Language Document for Search Interface22Appendix A.322Appendix A.4Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters	3.1.1 A Summary of the OAI Web Service Interface	5
3.1.4Required Records183.1.5The Identify Operation193.1.6IVOA Supported Sets193.2Harvesters204Registering Registries Harvesting21Appendix A.1Sample extension of vg:Registry21Appendix A.2Web Services Definition Language Document for Search Interface22Appendix A.322Appendix A.4Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters	3.1.2 Metadata Formats for Resource Descriptions	7
3.1.5The Identify Operation	3.1.3 Identifiers in OAI Messages	3
3.1.6IVOA Supported Sets193.2Harvesters204Registering Registries Harvesting21Appendix A.1Sample extension of vg:Registry21Appendix A.2Web Services Definition Language Document for Search Interface22Appendix A.322Appendix A.4Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters	3.1.4 Required Records	3
3.2       Harvesters       20         4       Registering Registries Harvesting.       21         Appendix A.1       Sample extension of vg:Registry.       21         Appendix A.2       Web Services Definition Language Document for Search Interface 22       22         Appendix A.3       22         Appendix A.4       Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters	3.1.5 The Identify Operation	9
4 Registering Registries Harvesting	3.1.6 IVOA Supported Sets	9
Appendix A.1 Sample extension of vg:Registry	3.2 Harvesters	)
Appendix A.2Web Services Definition Language Document for Search Interface 22Appendix A.3	4 Registering Registries Harvesting	1
Appendix A.322Appendix A.4 Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters	Appendix A.1 Sample extension of vg:Registry	1
Appendix A.322Appendix A.4 Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters	Appendix A.2 Web Services Definition Language Document for Search Interface 22	2
	Appendix A.4 Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesters	
	Interface	2

# Introduction

In the Virtual Observatory (VO), registries provide a means for discovering useful data and services. To make discovery efficient, a registry typically represents to some extent a centralized warehouse of resource descriptions; however, the source of this information—the resources themselves and the data providers that maintain them—are distributed. Furthermore, there need not be a single registry that serves the entire international VO community. Given the inherent distributed nature of the information used for resource discovery, there is clearly a need for common mechanisms for registry communication and interaction.

This document describes the standard interfaces that enable interoperable registries. These interfaces are based in large part on a Web Service definition in the form of a WSDL document [WSDLv1.1], which is included in this specification. Through these interfaces, registry builders have a common way of sharing resource descriptions with users, applications, and other registries. Client applications can be built according to this specification and be able to discover and retrieve descriptions from any compliant registry.

This specification does not preclude a registry builder from providing additional value-added interfaces and capabilities. In particular, they are free to build interactive, end-user interfaces in any way that best serves their target community.

## **1.1 Registry Architecture and Definitions**

A **registry** is first a repository of structured descriptions of *resources*, building on concept of a VO **resource** defined by the IVOA Recommendation, "Resource Metadata for the Virtual Observatory" (RM) [Hanisch 2004]:

A *resource* is a general term referring to a VO element that can be described in terms of who curates or maintains it and which can be given a name and a unique identifier. Just about anything can be a resource: it can be an abstract idea, such as sky coverage or an instrumental setup, or it can be fairly concrete, like an organization or a data collection.

Organizations, data collections, and services can be considered as classes of resources. The most important type of resource to applications is a service that actually does something. What is available at a particular resource is described through the content of metadata, whereas the service metadata describes how to access it. The RM describes a registry, then, as "a service for which the response is a structured description of resources" [Hanisch 2004]. Each resource description it returns is referred to as a **resource record**.

This specification is based on the general IVOA model for registries [Plante et al. 2004], which builds on the RM model for resources. In the registry model, the VO environment features different types of registries that serve different

functions. The primary distinction is between *publishing* registries and *searchable* ones. A secondary distinction is *full* versus *local*.

A **searchable registry** is one that allows users and client applications to search for resource records using selection criteria against the metadata contained in the records. The purpose of this type of registry is to aggregate descriptions of many resources distributed across the network. By providing a single place to locate data and services, applications are saved from having to visit many different sites to just to determine which ones are relevant to the scientific problem at hand. A searchable registry gathers its descriptions from across the network through a process called *harvesting*.

A **publishing registry** is one that simply exposes its resource descriptions to the VO environment in a way that allows those descriptions to be harvested. The contents of these registries tend to be limited to resources maintained by one or a few providers and thus are local in nature; for example, a data center will run its own publishing registry to expose all the resources it maintains to the VO environment. Since the purpose is simply publishing and not to serve users and applications directly, it is not necessary to support full searching capabilities. This simplifies the requirements for a publishing registry: not only does it not need to support the general search interface, the storage and management of the records can be simpler. While a searchable registry in practice will necessitate the use of a database system, a publishing registry can easily store its records as flat files on disk.

Note that some registries can play both roles; that is, a searchable registry may also publish its own resource descriptions.

A secondary distinction is *full* versus *local*. A **full registry** is one that attempts to contain records of all resources known to the VO. In practice, this attribute is associated only with <u>searchable registries</u>, as in the so-called **full searchable registry**. It is expected that there will be several such registries available, perhaps each run by a major VO project; this not only avoids the single point of failure, but allows some specialization to serve the particular needs of the project that maintains it. A **local registry**, on the other hand, contains only a subset of known resources. In practice, all <u>publishing registries</u> are local; however, we expect that there may be **local searchable registries** that specialize in particular types of resources, perhaps oriented toward a scientific topic.

As mentioned above, **harvesting** is the mechanism by which a registry can collect resource records from other registries. This mechanism is used by full searchable registries to aggregate resource records from many publishing registries. It can also be used to synchronize two registries to ensure that they have the same contents. Harvesting, in this specification, is modeled as a "pull" operation between two registries. The **harvester** refers to the registry that wishes to receive records (usually a <u>searchable registry</u>); it sends its request to

the **harvestee** (usually the <u>publishing registry</u>), which responds with the records. Harvesting is intended to be a much simpler process than search and retrieval; nevertheless, there are at least two kinds of filtering that a harvestee needs to support:

- **Filtering by date:** this allows the harvester to return to the harvestee periodically to retrieve only new and updated records.
- **Filtering by ownership:** by harvesting only those records that originated with the harvestee (as opposed to those that may have been harvested from other registries) prevents a harvester from receiving duplicate records from multiple registries.

Other kinds of filtering can be useful as well (such as filtering on resource type). Note, however, that filtering is not intended to be an equivalent to arbitrary searching; rather, it is a gross selection that can be easily implemented without having to process the contents of each record.

## **1.2 Specification Summary**

The purpose of the *registry* is to be used by other applications to provide access to various types of resources. At the programmatic level, connectivity of the registry and other applications is ensured through the registry interface as defined by this document. Much of the interface is defined as a SOAP-based Web Service and is described the WSDL documents included in Appendix A.1, while the harvesting interface (section 3) is specifically defined by the OAI-PMH standard. To define the registry interface, this document includes the following definitions:

- The meaning and behavior of three types of search and six harvesting operations.
- The required input arguments for each operation.
- The XML Schema used to encode response messages.
- The meaning of the output for each operation.

The IVOA Registry collects the lists of resource descriptions that match specific criteria via the search operation. The IVOA Registry Interface consists of three search operations:

- Search searches the Registry in order to obtain the VO resources.
- KeywordSearch is a helper query based on a set of key words.
- **GetResource** returns a single resource identified by its unique IVOA.

The registry can collect resource records from other registries using one of six operations which support resource harvesting. The operations listed below are described in more detail in 3.1.1. The most important harvesting operation is the **ListRecords**, which collects the descriptions of the resource based on

constraints such as date and time period. The ListRecords interface provides for the retrieval of all resources that are managed by its corresponding Registry. Resources of the type Registry are also harvestable by means of the ListRecords interface, The complete list of harvesting operations is shown below and their implementation follows the OAI standards:

- Identify
- ListIdentifiers
- ListRecords
- GetRecords
- ListMetadataFormats
- ListSets

The operations that return resource descriptions do so using the VOResource XML Schema [Plante et al. 2004] and any of its legal extensions.

# 2 Searching

The required search operations—**Search, KeywordSearch, GetResource** — return a list of one or more resource descriptions held by the registry that matches the input selection criteria. The three search operations respectively support three types of searching:

- **Constraint-based Searching** for resources by means of a query using the Astronomical Data Query Language (ADQL) [ADQL],
- **Keyword-based Searching** for resources whose descriptions contain words in an input string.
- **Identifier-based Searching** for returning one and only one resource specified via that resource's unique identifier.

Note:

It is important to note that search operations do not support resource harvesting described in section 3. Normally, an end-user would use search to retrieve resource descriptions, but not to selectively harvest information between registries.

These three operations are defined by the WSDL document given in Appendix A.1. <u>Searchable registries</u> must implement all the operations.

All the operations share a common output format for the resource records that match the search criteria. These records are encoded in XML and wrapped in a root element called **VOResources**. The resource records are represented as child **Resource** elements of type **Resource** from the VOResource XML Schema (having the namespace, <u>http://www.ivoa.net/xml/VOResource/v1.0</u>,

hitherto referred to using the "vr:" prefix), or a legal extension of the vr:Resource type. If the type of the Resource element is actually an extension of the vr:Resource type, then the Resource element MUST specify the specific type using an xsi:type attribute in compliance with the XML Schema standard [Schema].

The search responses must include the **xsi:schemaLocation** attribute in compliance with the XML Schema standard [Schema] to indicate a URL location for the VOResource schema and all of the legal extensions of VOResource that are employed in the response. This **xsi:schemaLocation** attribute must appear either as an attribute of the VOResources element or as an attribute of each child **Resource** element or both. When **xsi:schemaLocation** appears as an attribute of **Resource**, locations need only be given for the schemas employed within that resource. The URL location for the VOResource core (http://www.ivoa.net/xml/VOResource/v1.0) schema must be set to "http://www.ivoa.net/xml/VOResource/v1.0". For those legal extensions that are standard schemas recognized by the IVOA, the location should be set to the standard location in the IVOA Document repository whose URL begins with "http://www.ivoa.net/xml/".

View Appendix A.1 for the WSDL to see the use of the imported Resource schema placed inside the root element of VOResources.

The registry interface must implement the three Search operations in order to comply with communication and interaction standards for a Web Service. Searchable registries compliant with the augmented SOAP must return a copy of the WSDL document, with a service element appropriate for the local endpoint URL appended in response to a call to the Web Service URL with the standard "**?wsdl**" argument. Additional operations may be added; however original search operations and their arguments and outputs must not be altered.

## 2.1 Handling large volume of data

Two of the required search interfaces –Search and KeywordSearch – return a list of one or more resource descriptions which can comprise large data volumes depending on the query. These two interface methods can be run with a set of optional parameters that help reduce the amount of data. These are a paginate scheme and an identifier scheme.

A client may wish to use none, all, or a mix of these optional parameters.

The optional parameters:

- from starting point of a returned list of Resources. If not specified, the default is "1".
- to ending point of a list of Resources. If not specified, the default is the end of the list of Resources, or the registry's own limit (where relevant), whichever comes first.
- identifiersOnly Boolean to indicate "return only identifiers".

#### 2.1.1 Paginate Scheme

Clients are allowed to provide the parameter showing the starting number of a record from the selected set, as well as to supply an ending record number.

The operation is capable of returning query results incrementally. The client can view the results of an ADQL query or Keyword search in a specified record number range. The output of resource records identifies the starting and the ending record numbers displayed on the page, and a Boolean attribute showing whether more records/result pages are available. The search interface with incremental result returns resource records in sets identified by the attributes:

from – shows the starting number of the returned resource record shown by the set

numberReturned – the total number of returned resource records.

more – a Boolean value. True shows that more results are available, false identifies the end of the returned search results.

Example: <voresource from="100" more="true" numberreturned="200"></voresource>

The client is given an option of choosing the number of records to be returned; however, the search service allows for the implementer to establish a default setting for the result return. Therefore although the client may not specify values

of "from" and "to" parameters in the search, the client may get an incremental records output depending on the implementation.

### 2.1.2 Identifiers scheme

The Search and KeywordSearch interface now supports an option that allows returning only the identifiers of the selected records, thereby decreasing the search time and the output volume. To take advantage of this option the client must supply a true value to the parameter identifiersOnly.

# 2.2 Constraint-based Search Query

The **Search** method allows clients to retrieve a list of resource descriptions that match constraints of values corresponding to specific metadata in VOResource schema (and its legal extensions).

IVOA <u>searchable registries</u> must implement the **Search** interface, which takes one required parameter, a **Where** element of type **whereType** from the ADQL XML Schema [ADQL] (having the namespace, http://www.ivoa.net/xml/ADQL/v1.0, hitherto referred to using the "adq1:" prefix; see Appendix A.1) which contains the constraints that specific components of the resource metadata must satisfy. The specific components are named using adq1:Column elements subject to the following restrictions:

- The **Table** attribute, which is required by the ADQL Schema, should be set to an empty string and must be ignored by the **Search** method implementation.
- The Name attribute, which is required by the ADQL Schema, may be set to an empty string or to a short name to serve as an alias for the resource metadatum referred to. This value must be ignored by the **Search** method.
- The **xpathName** attribute must be set to a restricted XPath string, subject to the rules in section 2.2.1. This XPath string identifies the specific VOResource element (or legal extension) within the resource record that is to be constrained.

The **Search** implementation selects matching resources as if the ADQL query were being applied to a single table in which each row is a single <u>resource record</u> and the columns include the resource metadata components referred by **xpathName** XML attributes. Matched resource records are then encoded using the VOResource XML Schema (and its legal extensions) according to the specifications given in the Search WSDL and described in Section 2, and they should include all information available to the registry that is compliant with the VOResources definitions.

#### 2.2.1 Restrictions on the use of XPath in ADQL

The value of the **xpathName** attribute in any **adql:Column** element used within the input to the Search method must be a legal XPath [XPath] string that is restricted in form by the following rules:

- The path points to an element or attribute value within a resource description encoded with the VOResource schema and/or any of its legal extensions.
- When the path points to a specific element, that element must be of a simple type as defined by the XML Schema standard [Schema]
- The path is relative and assumes that the <u>context node</u> is the element that forms the parent of a single resource description (e.g. a **Resource** element) and is of type **vr:Resource** or one of its legal extensions.
- The path must be composed only of <u>location steps</u> with <u>child axes</u> expressed using the <u>abbreviated syntax</u> for child elements and attributes: elements are referred to simply by their name, and attributes are referred to by their name preceded by an '@' character. Unabbreviated location steps—i.e., those that require the double colon ('::') syntax—are not allowed. All other types of abbreviated axes, including use of double slashes ('//'), single and double periods ('.' and '..'), and wildcards ('\*'), are not allowed.
- The path must not include any predicates (i.e., qualifiers expressed using square brackets, '[...]').
- Because of the standard use of schemas to define elementformdefault='unqualified'. No prefixes are needed in the xpath of elements or attributes. With the exception of @xsi:type.

This restricted form of XPath is intended to make it straight forward to transform the ADQL Where clause to a string-based query—namely SQL and XQuery through a static mapping from an XPath to an attribute in a local database without parsing the internal content of the path.

Legal Examples: curation/publisher <u>curation/publisher/@ivo-id</u> @xsi-type <u>interface/@xsi-type</u>	the resource publisher's name the publisher's IVOA identifier the specific type of resource the specific type of interface
Illegal Examples:	
Resource/title	wrong context node
content	not an element with a simple type
curation/child::publisher	"child::" syntax not allowed
curation//@ivo-id	"//" syntax not allowed
Interface[@xsi-type="vs:WebService"]/accessURL	"[]" syntax not allowed

## 2.3 Keyword Search Query

The purpose of the **KeywordSearch** operation is to provide a simple way to select resources based on the string values in their resource descriptions. The output of the operation is a set of matched resource descriptions in the same format as from the **Search** operation and specified in section 2.

IVOA <u>searchable registries</u> must implement the **KeywordSearch(String words, Boolean orValue)** method, which has two required parameters:

- String words: The first parameter is a parameter of type xs:string that consists of one or more words separated by whitespace characters. The characters that qualify as whitespace are the same as in XML: space (x20), tab (x9), line feed (xA), and carriage return (xD).
- **Boolean orValues:** The second parameter is of type **xs:boolean** which determines the logical operand to be applied. Either an "AND" or an "OR" operand can be applied when querying with more than one word. If this parameter has a TRUE value, then *any* of the words must appear in the resource description in order for the resource to be returned. If this parameter is FALSE, then *all* of the words must appear in the <u>resource</u> <u>record</u> in order for the record to be returned.

The **KeywordSearch** implementation forms a query by, in effect, creating a search constraint for each word in the **words** parameter. Words are extracted from the **words** parameter after a normalization that ignores leading and trailing whitespaces and treats consecutive whitespaces as a single space. For each resource record, each word is compared against every value for a selected set of resource metadata that includes at minimum the following:

- identifier: the resource's IVOA identifier
- **content**/ **description**: the descriptive summary of the resource
- title: the resource title
- @xsi:type: the specific type of resource specified as an extension of the xs:Resource type
- content/ subject: the subject topics associated with the resource
- **content/type**: the general type of resource

The implementer may include additional metadata values in the comparison as they choose (which may include non-string values). It is legal to compare the word with all simple type values in the record. If the word is contained within one of the selected set of resource metadatum values, the constraint evaluates as TRUE. It is up to the implementer to decide what it means for a word to be considered "contained;" for example, the implementation may also test for related forms of the word. The results of all of the constraint tests (one for each word) are combined logically according to the value of **orValues**: if **orValues** is TRUE, then the resource record is returned when any of the constraints are TRUE, and if it FALSE, then all constraints must be TRUE in order for the record to be returned.

Matched resource records are then encoded using the VOResource XML Schema (and its legal extensions) and should include all information available to the registry that complies with the definitions of the VOResources.

## 2.4 Single Resource Search Query

The purpose of the **getResource** operation is to provide a simple way to select a single resource based on the string value of its unique resource identifier. The output of the operation is a single record matched to the resource identifier.

• IVOA <u>searchable registries</u> can must implement the **getResource(String** identifier) method, which has one parameter of type **xs:string** (the identifier of the <u>resource record</u>) in order for the record to be returned.

During the search operation the <u>resource record</u> metadata is compared against the value of the IVOA resource identifier vr:identifier. The result of the single resource search query is the selected resource metadata.

## 2.5 Xquery Search

The purpose of the XQuerySearch operation is to provide a more convenient way of searching the hierarchal xml schema, and to provide the client with a way of obtaining only the subelement(s) they need (rather than the full Resource record). The output of this operation is determined by the XQuery input.

To determine if a registry supports the XQuery interface a client must inspect the WSDL of the searchable registry or the Registry type Resource for the optionalProtocol "XQuery"; xpath "capability/optionalProtocol".

IVOA searchable registries may implement the **XQuerySearch(String xquery)** method which has one parameter:

- String xquery: The first and only parameter is a string that conforms to xquery syntax See information on xquery here: <u>http://www.w3.org/XML/Query</u>
- "//RootResource" word may be located in the xquery string parameter to denote the root or top element of a VOResource, and should be translated if necessary to the appropriate root element.

## 2.6 Using Registry Resource for Specific Searching

A client may wish to interrogate the Registry Resource type "@xsi:type='vg:Registry" for certain requirements to be used for searching.

- Discovery All Registries will implement the getRegistration interface from the "IVOA Support Interface" which returns the VOResource record of the vg:Registry type for that registry.
- XQuery As Noted in the above section 2.5, you can discover if the registry supports XQuery by checking if the optionalProtocol is set to "XQuery". Located xpath of "capability/optionalProtocol"
- Full or Not Full a Boolean to indicate if this registry contains all VOResource records. Located xpath of "full".
- Extensions Registries are required to return all data from a VOResource record including extensions, but searching on extensions is "not" required. Check for a Boolean to indicate if a registry can search extensions. Located xpath of "allowsExtendedSearch".

# 3 Harvesting

**Harvesting** is the mechanism by which a registry can collect resource descriptions from other registries. This mechanism is used by full searchable registries to aggregate resource descriptions from many publishing registries. It can also be used to synchronize two registries to ensure that they have the same contents. This section defines the **IVOA Harvesting Interface**. Client applications that make use of this interface are referred to as **harvesters**. Those registries that declare themselves as harvestable (section 3.2) must comply with the specification described in this section. As Noted in the Abstract Registries may become harvestable by implementing the HTTP GET interface of OAI as an alternative to the SOAP Service interface described.

## **3.1 Harvesting Interface**

The harvesting interface builds on the Web Service version of the Open Archives Initiative Protocol for Metadata Harvesting (OAI-PMH) [OAI]. In particular, all IVOA Registries that support the Harvesting Interface must be compliant with the Web Service version of OAI-PMH. Compliance with this base standard allows IVOA registries to be accessed by applications from outside the IVOA community. **Editor's Note:** OAI does not currently support an official Web Services version of PMH. One of the purposes of the development of this standard is to drive the evolution of the OAI standard which has demonstrated to be a highly effective harvesting protocol across a broad continuum of communities.

In addition to OAI-PMH compliance, this specification defines an additional set of OAI-PMH-compliant requirements and recommendations which are described in sections 3.1.1 through 3.1..6 below.

### 3.1.1 A Summary of the OAI Web Service Interface

The Web Service version of OAI-PMH is defined by:

- The OAI-PMH v2.0 specification (<u>http://www.openarchives.org/OAI/openarchivesprotocol.html</u>) which defines
  - the meaning and behavior of the six harvesting operations, referred to as "verbs",
  - the meaning of the input arguments for each operation, and
  - the XML Schema used to encode response messages.
- The OAI-PMH Web Service Definition Language (WSDL) document (see Appendix A.2) which defines
  - o the six "verbs" defined as Web Service operations
  - SOAP encoding of the operation input arguments and response messages, based on the OAI-PMH XML Schema.

In summary, the OAI-PMH standard defines six operations:

**Identify**: provides a description of the registry

- **ListIdentifiers**: returns a list of identifiers for the resource records held by the registry.
- ListRecords: returns all Resource records in the registry. Registries may use the set "ivo\_managed" to get Resource records managed by this particular registry..
- **GetRecord**: returns a single resource description matching a given identifier.
- ListMetadataFormats: returns a list of supported formats that the registry can use to encode resource descriptions upon a harvester's request.
- ListSets: return a list of category names supported by the registry that harvesters can request in order to get back a subset of the descriptions held by the registry.

The **ListRecords** and **GetRecord** operations return the actual resource description records held by the registry. These descriptions are encoded in XML

and wrapped in a general-purpose envelope defined by the OAI-PMH XML Schema (namespace <u>http://www.openarchives.org/OAI/2.0</u>).

Through the operations' arguments, OAI-PMH provides a number of useful features:

- Support for multiple return formats. As suggested by the ListMetadataFormats operation, a harvester can request the format resource descriptions are encoded in.
- *Harvesting by date*. The **ListIdentifiers** and **ListRecords** operations both support "from" and "until" date arguments. The "from" argument can be used to retrieve records that have changed since the last harvest.
- *Harvesting by category*. The **ListIdentifiers** and **ListRecords** operations both support a "set" argument for retrieving resources that are grouped in a particular category. Resource records may belong to multiple groups.
- *Marking records as deleted*. Registries may mark records as deleted so that harvesters may remove access to them from their applications.
- Support for resumption tokens. If a request results in returning a very large number of records, the registry can choose to split the results over several calls; this is done by passing a resumption token back to the harvester. The harvester uses it to retrieve the next set of matching results.

#### Editor's Note:

The Web Service version of the OAI-PMH protocol has been designed to match the behavior and functionality of the original "HTTP GET"-based version as much as possible. One reason for this is to make it as straightforward as possible to build bridges between implementations of both types and to build off the existing OAI software.

#### Note:

It is important to note that the OAI-PMH interface is not intended to be a general search interface. The filtering capabilities described above are just enough to support intelligent harvesting between registries. Most end-user applications will use the search interface described in sections 3 and 4 to retrieve resource descriptions.

The Web Service or SOAP version of OAI-PMH augments the original specification with a standard Web Service Definition Language (WSDL) document which is listed in H.2. Harvestable registries complying to the SOAP version of OAI-PMH must emit a copy of the WSDL document, with a service element appropriate for the local endpoint URL added in, in response to a call to the Web Service URL with the standard "?wsdl" argument. All six of the standard operations must be implemented. Additional, non-standard operations may be added; however, the definition of the six standard operations, along with the definition of their inputs and outputs, must not be altered. The interface is

recognized as the OAI-PMH standard when the default namespace for the WSDL matches "http://www.ivoa.net/wsdl/oai.wsdl" exactly.

Editor's Note: The namespace for the WSDL would presumably be changed to something like <u>http://www.openarchives.org/OAI-WS/1.0/</u> if and when it is accepted by the OAI community.

The subsequent sections below describe how the standard OAI-PMH features are used to support IVOA-specific functionality.

#### 3.1.2 Metadata Formats for Resource Descriptions

All IVOA registries that support the Harvesting Interface must support two standard metadata formats: the OAI Dublin Core format (mandated by the base OAI-PMH standard) and the IVOA VOResource metadata format [ http://www.ivoa.net/xml/VOResource/v1.0].

The VOResource metadata format will have the metadata prefix name "ivo vor" which can be used wherever an OAI-PMH metadata prefix name is supported (see OAI standard, section 3.4, "metadataPrefix and Metadata Schema"). The format uses the VOResource core XML Schema with the namespace http://www.ivoa.net/xml/VOResource/v1.0 (referred hereto with the namespace prefix "vr") along with any legal extension of this schema (including the IVOA standard extensions) to encode the resource descriptions within the OAI-PMH metadata from the OAI XML Schema (namespace tag http://www.openarchives.org/OAI/2.0, hereto referred by the namespace prefix "oai"). The format is specifically defined as a vr: Resource element as the sole child of the **oai:metadata** element. In compliance with the VOResource schema and any legal extensions.

#### Editor's Note:

If and when the VOResource schema evolves to a new version, this standard must be updated accordingly. Thus, this definition is locked to particular version of the VOResource, so saying that a registry is compliant with vX.X of this document implies a specific version of VOResource.

#### Note:

It is possible that the **vr:Resource** extension returned is unrecognized by the harvester. The harvester must deal with this possible outcome by handling and storing of extensions or by ignoring vr:Resource metadata.

#### Editor's Note:

A "standard resource extension" will be defined as a type of vr:Resource in a schema that has

been approved as an IVOA Recommendation. At this writing, no VOResource schemas have reached this state, so for the purposes of prototyping, a "standard resource extension" will refer to any **vr:Resource** type from the following schemas:

- VOResource: http://www.ivoa.net/xml/VOResource/v1.0
- VORegistry: http://www.ivoa.net/xml/VORegistry/v1.0
- VODataService: http://www.ivoa.net/xml/VODataService/v1.0
- ConeSearch: http://www.ivoa.net/xml/ConeSearch/v1.0
- SIA: <u>http://www.ivoa.net/xml/SIA/v1.0</u>

The OAI Dublin Core format, with the metadata prefix of "oai\_dc", is defined by the OAI-PMH base standard and must be supported by all OAI-PMH compliant registries. This document does not specify how a record in the VOResource format maps into the OAI Dublin Core format; however, the IVOA Registry Working Group may recommend such a mapping based on the IVOA Resource Metadata standard [ref].

Harvestable registries may support other metadata formats. The **ListMetadataFormats** must list all names for formats supported by the registry; this list must include "ivo\_vor" and "oai\_dc".

#### 3.1.3 Identifiers in OAI Messages

In accordance with the OAI-PMH standard, an OAI-PMH XML envelope that contains a resource description must include a globally unique URI that identifies that resource record. This identifier must be the IVOA identifier used to identify the resource being described and cited as the value of the **vr:identifier** resource metadatum.

#### Note:

This specification does not follow the recommendation of the OAI-PMH standard with regard to record identifiers. OAI-PMH makes a distinction between the resource record containing resource metadata and the resource itself; thus, it recommends that the identifier in the OAI envelope be different from the resource identifier. In particular, the former is the choice of the publishing registry. This allows one to distinguish resource descriptions of the same resource from different registries, which in principle could be different.

In the VO, because it is intended that resource descriptions of the same resource from different registries should not differ, there is not a strong need to distinguish between the resource and the resource description. By making the resource and resource record identifiers the same, it makes it much easier to retrieve the record for a single resource via **GetRecord**, regardless of which registry is being queried. Otherwise—when the registry chooses the record identifier—a client will not *a priori* know the record identifier for a particular resource, and so it is left to call **ListRecords** and search through the metadata of all the records itself to find the one of interest. In contrast, IVOA identifiers are intended to be a cross-application way of referring to a resource, and thus when a client wants only a single specific resource record, it is very likely that it would know the resource identifier when making a call to the **GetRecord** operation.

#### 3.1.4 Required Records

This section describes the records that a harvestable IVOA Registry must include among those it emits via the OAI-PMH operations.

The harvestable registry must return one record that describes the registry itself as a whole, and the "ivo\_vor" format must be supported for this record. This record is included in the **Identify** operation response (see section 3.1.5). When encoded using the "ivo\_vor" format, the vr:Resource returned must be of an extension of vg:Registry from the VORegistry schema (namespace <u>http://www.ivoa.net/xml/VORegistry/v1.0</u>; hereto referred by the "vg" namespace prefix). The record must include a **vg:managedAuthority** for every Authority Identifier [ref IVOA Identifiers] that originated at that registry. The registry may contain other registry records for other registries it knows about; use of **vr:Resource** elements other than **vg:Registry** to describe these other registries is strongly discouraged.

The harvestable registry must return exactly one record in "ivo\_vor" format for each Authority Identifer listed as a **vg:managedAuthority** in the **vg:Registry** record that describes that registry. When encoded in the "ivo\_vor" format, the type of **vr: Resource** must be an **vg:Authority** type.

#### 3.1.5 The Identify Operation

The **Identify** operation describes the harvestable registry as a whole. The response from this operation must include all information required by the OAI-PMH standard. In particular, it must include a **oai:baseURL** element which must refer to the base URL to the Web Service endpoint (i.e. the URL used to retrieve the WSDL document via the standard URL suffix, "?wsdl") unless the HTTP-GET is implemented by the Registry see below note.

**Note:** A traditional "HTTP GET" implementation of OAI-PMH that serves as a bridge to Web Service implementation must transform the value of the **oai:baseURL** element to refer to itself rather than the delegate Web Service.

The **Identify** response must include a **oai:description** element containing a single vr:Resource of type **vg:Registry**. The content of **vg:Registry** type must be the registry description of the harvestable registry itself..

#### 3.1.6 IVOA Supported Sets

**Sets**, as defined in the OAI-PMH standard, "[are] an optional construct for grouping items for the purpose of selective harvesting" (see the OAI-PMH standard, section 2.6). Harvestable IVOA registries are free to define any number of custom sets for categorizing records. The OAI-PMH standard allows a record to be a member of multiple sets. This document defines a set of reserved set names with special meanings. Their names all start with the

characters "ivo\_"; implementers must not define their own set names that begin with this string. Support for one of the reserved sets, "ivo\_managed," is required by this specification; thus, when applied to IVOA-compliant harvestable registries, support for sets is not optional.

This specification optionally defines a set for each of the IVOA standard extensions to the vr:Resource as well as the vr:Resource element itself. The set name is formed by prepending "ivo\_" to the local element name for the resource extension. (For example, a set defined for **vg:Registry** is named "ivo\_Registry".) A request for records in such a set will return records whose "ivo\_vor" rendering features the associated resource extension. (For example, requesting the "ivo\_Registry" set will return all records whose "ivo\_vor" form has a **vg:Registry** type of the **vr:VOResource** element.) Requests for the "ivo\_Resource" set (if supported) should return records whose "ivo\_vor" form has a **vr:Resource** with no type extension hence no xsi:type defined.. Harvesting registries should support all sets associated with IVOA standard **Resource** extensions. Requests for these sets that are not supported should return an error (in accordance with the OAI-PMH standard), even if such records exist.

The "ivo\_standard" optional set refers to all of the IVOA reserved sets that correspond to IVOA standard **Resource** extensions that are supported by the registry. Harvesters may request this set to guarantee getting back records it can fully parse. Harvesting registries must support this set.

The "ivo\_managed" required set refers to all records that originate from the queried registry. That is, those records that were harvested from other registries are excluded. The IVOA Resource identifiers given in the records must have an Authority Identifier that matches on one of the **vg:managedAuthority** values in the **vg:Registry** record for that registry. Full searchable registries may use this set to avoid getting duplicate records when harvesting from many registries.

All sets that are supported by the harvestable registry, including the one required set, must be listed in the response to the **ListSets** operation in compliance with the OAI-PMH standard. Appendix A.3 lists the recommended set descriptions which can be returned by the **ListSets** operation for the IVOA reserved set names.

## 3.2 Harvesters

A registry that collects resource descriptions from other registries through the Harvesting Interface defined above in section 3.1 is referred to as a **harvester registry**. See Section 4 for sample vg:Registry extension for deterimination of interface URL and method to which to call the registry such as HTTP-GET or SOAP. Harvesters 'must' be able to harvest via SOAP or HTTP-GET interface. If both SOAP and HTTP-GET interfaces are defined in the vg:Registry extension then the harvester has an option of which interface to call.

#### Note:

The Registry of Registries is hosted by IVOA for the discovery of other Registry types "vg:Registry" records. The Registry of Registries known as RofR only stores vg:Registry records and implements the Harvesting interface only. Full Registries should harvest RofR for discovery of new and/or updated Registries. See IVOA Note section on more detailed description of RofR.

# **4** Registering Registries

[Points to cover:

- VORegistry used to register registries
- Definition of extension metadata: managedAuthority
- Restrictions on describing capabilities
- Example record

#### ]

```
<resource xsi:type="vg:Registry"
          xmlns:vr="http://www.ivoa.net/xml/VOResource/v1.0"
          xmlns:vg="http://www.ivoa.net/xml/VORegistry/v1.0"
          xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
          xsi:schemaLocation="http://www.ivoa.net/xml/VOResource/v1.0
                              VOResource-v1.0.xsd
                              http://www.ivoa.net/xml/VORegistry/v1.0
                              VORegistry-v1.0.xsd">
    <title>IVOA Registry of Registries sample entry</title>
    <shortName>RofR</shortName>
    <identifier>ivo://ivoa/registry</identifier>
    <curation>
      <publisher>
         IVOA
      </publisher>
      <creator>
        <name>Ray Plante</name>http://www.ivoa.net/
      </creator>
      <date>2006-08-08</date>
      <contact>
        <name>Ray Plante</name>
   <email>rplante@ncsa.uiuc.edu</email>
      </contact>
    </curation>
    <content>
      <subject>registry repositories</subject>
      <description>
         This registry provides information regarding other registries.
      </description>
      <referenceURL>http://www.ivoa.net</referenceURL>
      <type>Registry</type>
      <contentLevel>Research</contentLevel>
    </content>
```

```
<capability xsi:type="vg:Harvest"
                standardID="ivo://ivoa.net/std/Registry">
       <interface xsi:type="vg:OAIHTTPGet" role="std">
          <accessURL> http://www.ivoa.net/cgi-bin/rofr/oai.pl
</accessURL>
       </interface>
              <interface xsi:type="vq:OAISOAP" role="std">
          <accessURL> http://www.ivoa.net/rofr/RegistryHarvest
</accessURL>
       </interface>
       <maxRecords>100</maxRecords>
    </capability>
   <!-- Uncomment this section for the Search Interface
    <capability xsi:type="vg:Search"
                standardID="ivo://ivoa.net/std/Registry">
       <interface xsi:type="vr:WebService" role="std">
         <accessURL> http://nvo.ncsa.uiuc.edu/cgi-bin/nvo/search.pl
</accessURL>
       </interface>
       <optionalProtocol>XQuery</optionalProtocol>
       <maxRecords>0</maxRecords>
    </capability>
    -->
    <full>false</full>
    <managedAuthority>ivoa</managedAuthority>
    <managedAuthority>ivoa.net</managedAuthority>
</resource>
```

## Appendix A.1 Web Services Definition Language Document for Search Interface

Currently See: http://www.ivoa.net/twiki/bin/view/IVOA/RegistryInterface

### Appendix A.2 Web Services Definition Language Document for the Harvesting Interface

Currently See: http://www.ivoa.net/twiki/bin/view/IVOA/RegistryInterface

# Appendix A.3 VORegistry: the VOResource Extension Schema for Registering Registries

Currently See: http://www.ivoa.net/twiki/bin/view/IVOA/RegistryInterface

## References

- [WSDLv1.1] Christensen, E., Curbera, F., Meredith, G., & Weerawarana, S. 2001, <u>Web Services Description Language v1.1</u>, W3C Note 15 March 2001, http://www.w3.org/TR/wsdl/
- [Hanisch 2004] Hanisch, R. (ed.) et al. 2004, <u>Resource Metadata for the Virtual</u> <u>Observatory</u>, IVOA Recommendation, http://www.ivoa.net/Documents/latest/RM.html
- [ADQL] Ohishi, M. et al. 2004, <u>Astronomical Dataset Query Language</u>, IVOA Working Draft (internal), http://www.ivoa.net/internal/IVOA/IvoaVOQL/WD\_ADQL-0.9.pdf
- [XPath] Clark, J. and DeRose, S. 2001, <u>XML Path Language (XPath) Version</u> <u>1.0</u>, W3C Recommendation 16 November 1999, http://www.w3.org/TR/xpath/
- [Schema] Fallside, D, and Walmsley, P. 2004, <u>XML Schema Part 0: Primer</u> <u>Second Edition</u>, W3C Recommendation 28 October 2004, <u>http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlschema-0/</u>
- [OAI] http://www.openarchives.org/OAI/openarchivesprotocol.html