





1. Towards RegTAP 1.1

(cf. Fig. 1)

Markus Demleitner msdemlei@ari.uni-heidelberg.de

1

(cf. Fig. 2)

- What this is about
- an alt_identifier table
- a standard_key table
- new interface.mirror_url
- per-capability testQueryString in res_details
- rights, rightsURI in res_details



What this is about

VOResource 1.1 is around the corner with $\frac{3}{2}$ pages of changelog.

RegTAP, as the common "user interface" to VOResource, has to catch up.

Fortunately, RegTAP doesn't notice most of the changes, as they mainly concern clarifications, vocabulary changes, type refinements, etc., which don't show in the database.

Background: To keep the schema manageable, we've traditionally done a manual mapping of VOResource to a relational model. In some extreme cases, we've even restricted what's expressible in RegTAP vs. what VOResource lets people do. This tactic has so far served us well.

So, this is about finding workable compromises.

Also, this is about providing implementation experience for VOResource RFC.

You're not supposed to read this:

(cf. Fig. 4)

3. New alt_identifier Table

ivoid	alt_identifier
ivo://ex/res1	orcid:0000-0000-0000X
ivo://ex/res1	orcid:0000-0000-0000-001V
ivo://ex/res1	doi:10.5072/ex/res1
ivo://other/q	doi:10.5072/hurgl

But: No way to work out what *role* the referenced entity plays wrt the resource.

On the other hand: Currently evident from alt_identifier type.

Alternative: Add role column?

4. New standard_key Table

ivoid	key_name	key_description
ivo://ivoa.net/std/regtap	table-1.0	The data model for
ivo://gavo/std/example	vodml-prefix	foo
ivo://gavo/std/example	vodml-dmuri	http:///vodml/foo-1
ivo://gavo/std/example	vodml-prefix	bar
ivo://gavo/std/example	vodml-dmuri	http:///vodml/bar-1

StandardKeys come from StandardsRegExt and are intended for term enumerations coming with standards. These days, they're mostly used to tell various versions of a standard from each other (e.g., query-1.0 vs. query-1.1).

DM has a use case for discovery on StandardKeys. This table is a straight mapping, but the DM use case is a bit of a shortcut to their real problem.

Alternative: (a) Keep it unmapped, tell DM to define their own table. (b) Perhaps abusing capability?

5. Mirror URLs

In rr.interface:

mirror	 primary key
spiegel.de/svc#mirror.us/svc#spaijel.in/svc-	 ivo://id1, 1, 1
mirror.br/extra#extra.fr/ext	 ivo://id1, 1, 2
NUL	 ivo://id2, 1, 1

Yes, array simulation sucks. But it's safe here, since there's no way # can be part of an access URL.

Alternatives: (a) Extra rr.mirrors table (yikes!); (b) support for arrays for var-length strings in VOTable.

6. interface/testQueryString

Again in rr.interface:

primary key	 test_query_string
ivo://id1, 1, 1	 REQUEST=doQuery&POS=23,1&SIZE=0.01
ivo://id1, 1, 2	 MAXREC=1
ivo://id2, 1, 1	 NULL

This is not yet implemented, as until a few weeks ago testQueryString in VOResource was $0\ldots n,$ and then this wouldn't nearly be good enough. But there's no case for more than one testQueryString, I'd say.

Alternatives: None. Really.

7. rights, rightsURI

ivoid	detail_xpath	detail_value
ivo:///hsoy/	/rights/@rightsURI	http://cc.org/publicdomain/zero/
ivo:///hsoy/	/rights	Licensed under CC-0

RegTAP 1.0 has rr.resource.rights as hash-separated list.

VOResource 1.1 has free-text rights and rights/@rightsURI, so hash separation doesn't work any more.

Minor (because existing rights isn't terribly useful and thus hasn't been used to my knowledge) incompatible change: I've taken out resource.rights. rights and rightsURI now in res_details.

Alternatives: keep resource.rights but deprecate it and fill with NULL.

8. Mapping Vocabulary Terms

VOResource 1.1 has synonyms in order to keep old records valid but move to uniform term syntax.

date_role		
representative	Collected	
creation	Created	
update	Updated	
relationship	type	
mirror-of	IsIdenticalTo	
service-for	IsServiceFor	
served-by	IsServedBy	
derived-from	IsDerivedFrom	

Proposal: Make RegTAP map to the *new* terms.

Advantage: Clients do not need to worry about vocabularies at all, and they can use new (DataCite) terms from the start exclusively.

Disadvantages: Incompatible change (but: there are probably very few clients actually using relationships and none using date roles at the moment). Also, mapping won't be good enough any more as soon as we do a bit more advanced semantics (hyponymy, "subclassing"). Then client smarts would be necessary anyway.

Alternatives: (a) Map to old terms? (b) Don't map at all?

9. Enabling Sensible Author Search

Right now: select creator_seq from rr.resource natural join rr.res_role where base_role='creator' and role_name like 'Van der Waerden%'

So, you're supposed to take an (ordered) author string from rr.resource, and search within rr.res_role, where supposedly there's an expectable author form.

In reality, there's still horribly mess in creator.name, so it's questionable whether the fielded authors are helpful in the first place.

Recommend full-text index on creator_seq and matching with ivo_hasword(creator_seq, 'Van der Waerden')?

10. Kind Requests in Parting

- Ponder over changes and alternatives discussed here
- Use new VOResource features in your registry records
- Fix up your author names to be Last, F.I. (and perhaps list 2nd and further authors)

Thanks!